Graphene Nanopore Support System for Simultaneous High-Resolution AFM Imaging and Conductance Measurements
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ABSTRACT: Accurately defining the nanoporous structure and sensing the ionic flow across nanoscale pores in thin films and membranes has a wide range of applications, including characterization of biological ion channels and receptors, DNA sequencing, molecule separation by nanoparticle films, sensing by block co-polymers films, and catalysis through metal–organic frameworks. Ionic conductance through nanopores is often regulated by their 3D structures, a relationship that can be accurately determined only by their simultaneous measurements. However, defining their structure–function relationships directly by any existing techniques is still not possible. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) can image the structures of these pores at high resolution in an aqueous environment, and electrophysiological techniques can measure ion flow through individual nanoscale pores. Combining these techniques is limited by the lack of nanoscale interfaces. We have designed a graphene-based single-nanopore support (≈5 nm thick with ≈20 nm pore diameter) and have integrated AFM imaging and ionic conductance recording using our newly designed double-chamber recording system to study an overlaid thin film. The functionality of this integrated system is demonstrated by electrical recording (≈10 pS conductance) of suspended lipid bilayers spanning a nanopore and simultaneous AFM imaging of the bilayer.
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INTRODUCTION

In recent years, advancement in fabrication techniques has led to novel nanoporous structures with an array of applications in biotechnology, polymer science, and energy. Small changes in the nanoscale features of these pores determine the specific conducting properties of ions through and around the pores. Ion-conducting nanopores, including biological channels and receptors, may also interact with the surrounding environment and change over time. For example, living systems rely on the coordinated activity of membrane ion channels and receptors that control ionic and metabolic homeostasis and cell–cell/extracellular communications through regulation of ions, metabolites, and RNA transport. Dysfunction of ion channels is associated with pathophysiology and diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease and Parkinson’s disease, addiction, and some genetic disorders. Improved therapeutic development, diagnosis, and/or prevention is therefore dependent on an accurate understanding of these channels’ structure–activity relationship.

To fully understand the structure–function relationship of nanopore-containing thin films and membranes, structure and function must be correlated directly through simultaneous measurements. However, current techniques cannot provide real-time, direct, and simultaneous observation of the 3D structure and activity of these pores.

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) allows dynamic high-resolution imaging of biological samples in physiological environments, including 3D structures of individual ion channels in native hydrated environments. The open interface of the AFM allows its integration with other techniques, including bilayer electrical recording and light/fluorescence microscopy. The missing link for integrating AFM imaging and electrical recording is a lack of an appropriate nanoscale support system.

Nanopore samples have emerged as an exciting class of nanosensors that have gained attention for their sensitivity to conductance changes, especially in relation to the translocation of biomolecules, and numerous nanoporous devices have been made from natural, artificial, and hybrid materials. Graphene is a promising and reliable material because of its unique mechanical, electronic, thermal, and optical properties. Graphene is thin enough to be precisely drilled using a transmission electron microscope (TEM) and is strong...
enough to be freely suspended over microscale pores.\textsuperscript{10,31,35,42,43} Although much of the recent research on single-nanopore conductance has been directed toward the application of DNA sequencing or biomolecule translocation, micro- and nanopore devices have also been used to study the activity of ion channels.\textsuperscript{15,44}

Here, we describe a novel graphene nanopore support system (Figure 1) for simultaneous localized high-resolution AFM and ionic conductance recording of nanoporous thin films. Solid-state single-nanopore support substrates were fabricated to fit into the open interface of the multimode AFM with our recently developed two-chamber system (Figure 1). We demonstrate the applicability of the integrated nanopore support system combining AFM imaging and electrical recording using suspended lipid bilayers. We show that a lipid bilayer deposited over the graphene nanopore seals the pore. These bilayers can be imaged repeatedly with AFM and retain their electrical properties. Electrical conductance measurements reveal a dramatic reduction in the conductance, $>1 \mu S$ for the open pore to $<10$ pS, for the bilayer-covered pores, indicating complete coverage and sealing of the nanopore. The device and setup that we present here demonstrates the imaging resolution, nanopore size, and conductance sensitivity on scales compatible with what is needed for the structure–activity study of ion channels. The use of this technology would have major implications for, but not limited to, the study of neurological disorders, pathological studies, therapeutic screening, and drug addiction.

\section*{MATERIALS AND METHODS}

\textbf{Materials.} Silicon oxide membranes (SiO$_2$) were purchased from AppNano (Mountain View, CA). Silicon oxide membranes are 200 nm thick and 20 $\times$ 20 $\mu$m$^2$ wide freestanding windows supported by a 300 $\mu$m thick silicon substrate. The windows were formed by KOH anisotropic etching of a 450 $\times$ 450 $\mu$m$^2$ opening on the backside of the silicon support (Figures 2A and 3A). Single-layer CVD graphene deposited on 20 $\mu$m thick Cu foil (2 $\times$ 2″) was obtained from Graphene Supermarket (Calverton, NY). A Quanta 3D FEG focused ion beam (FIB) was used to drill through the SiO$_2$ suspended membrane. Either an iron(III) chloride hexahydrate (FeCl$_3\cdot$6H$_2$O; $\geq$...
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Figure 3. (A) Top-view SEM image of the drilled FIB 1 μm hole. Inset is a zoomed-out SEM image of the same hole. The $20 \times 20 \mu m^2$ SiO$_2$ window is visible in the SEM image. Scale bar = 1 μm. (B) TEM image of the drilled FIB 1 μm hole covered with a layer of graphene showing no defects. Thicker regions appear darker. Scale bar = 500 nm. (C) TEM image of a single drilled 20 nm pore in the graphene/Al$_2$O$_3$ membrane. Scale bar = 20 nm.

Nanopore Fabrication Process. To fabricate a single nanopore support, the Si/SiO$_2$ substrates were used as a base for the processing (Figure 2A). A single hole with a diameter of 1 μm was drilled by FIB through the center of the SiO$_2$ $20 \times 20 \mu m^2$ suspended membrane area (Figures 2B and 3A). A sample of graphene on Cu was spin-coated with PMMA for 50 s and baked at 180 °C for 10 min. The Cu foil was completely dissolved in a FeCl$_3$·6H$_2$O solution or copper etchant APS-100 (∼24 h). The remaining PMMA/graphene flake was deposited over the center of the cleaned SiO$_2$ membranes to ensure coverage of the entire 1 μm FIB hole area and was allowed to dry (Figure 2C). Dried samples were soaked in acetonitrile to dissolve the top layer of PMMA (Figure 2D). Five nanometers of Al$_2$O$_3$ was deposited on the sample by ALD (Figures 2E and 3B). A nanopore was then drilled through the center of the graphene/Al$_2$O$_3$-suspended membrane by TEM (Figures 2F and 3C). The nanopore sample was cleaned with acetonitrile, isopropanol, and UV/ozone cleaner for 15 min before use in conductance measurements.

Experimental Setup for Imaging and Conductance. The double-chamber cup was used to hold the nanopore support as previously described in Meckes et al. (Figure 1C). The nanopore sample (Figure 1B) sits on the square inset of the top chamber piece and is sealed into the top chamber using a continuous layer of fast curing Ecoflex Supersoft 5 (Figure 1C).

AFM imaging in liquid was performed with deflection feedback on the nanopore sample in the double-chamber cup. An Ag/AgCl electrode was placed through a port of the liquid cell, and another similar electrode was embedded in the opposite chamber of the double-chamber cup (Figure 1A). The entire AFM base was placed in a Faraday cage on an in-house bungee cord-supported platform for noise isolation. A complete schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Figure 1A.

Lipid Bilayer Preparation. DiPhyPC liposomes were formed by drying lipids dissolved in chloroform in a rotovap. The dried lipids were hydrated with molecular grade H$_2$O and vortexed. The solutions were then sonicated for 10 min.

Nanopore surfaces were pretreated with a droplet of lipid–hexane solution containing 70 μL of lipid (5 mg/mL) mixed with 100 μL of hexane. Liposomes were deposited over the nanopore and incubated for 2 h at room temperature. Several drops of 1 M KCl, 10 mM Tris, 5 mM CaCl$_2$, pH 8.0, buffer were added to the incubated liposome droplet and incubated for an additional 10 min to transition single-vesicle layers to suspended single planar bilayers across the nanopore. Excess Ca$^{2+}$ and liposomes were rinsed with 1 M KCl electrolyte solution buffered with 10 mM Tris to pH 8.5. The double-chamber cup was set on the scanner head of the AFM. The area containing the nanopore, a $20 \times 20 \mu m^2$ area of 200 nm thick SiO$_2$, was aligned under the cantilever tip in an optical system.

Simultaneous AFM Imaging of Bilayer and Electrical Recording. Conductance levels and capacitance of the bilayers were recorded using a National Instruments DAC with a custom LabView 8.0 program and the patch-clamp amplifier under applied voltages of ±100 mV. When sufficient sealing of the nanopore was established, the AFM was engaged and, in contact mode, the area of the nanopore covered with bilayers was imaged while simultaneously recording conductance levels. Capacitance measurements were performed by applying ramp function signals (10 mV amplitude, 10 Hz) across the bilayer. The capacitance of the membrane is proportional to the amplitude of the resulting square wave. All electrical measurements were analyzed with Clampfit 10.2. A digital lowpass Gaussian filter with a 50 Hz cutoff was applied to all data represented. Extraneous 60 Hz noise was eliminated with a digital notch filter centered at 60 Hz with a 9 Hz bandwidth.

RESULTS

AFM Analysis and Conductance Characterization of Nanopore. Images of the nanopore support were taken periodically throughout the fabrication process by electron microscopy (EM) and AFM. A $20 \times 20 \mu m^2$ suspended SiO$_2$ area was visible in SEM (Figure 3A inset) and in AFM (Figure 4A), enabling for the eventual centered drilling of the nanopore. The AFM height images show a large deformation pattern of the suspended SiO$_2$ square that is not seen in SEM (Figure 4A). This deformation is due to stress relief following the etching of the underlying Si layer. The center area of this square where the graphene membrane resides appears to have very little deformation in comparison to the edges, sufficient for imaging bilayers. The focused ion beam (FIB)-drilled hole placed in the center of this square is also visible in SEM (Figure
The predicted conductance from the given equation is dependent on pore morphology and surface charge density of the sample. High surface charge density for graphene/Al₂O₃ layers is considered 200 mC/m², and minimum surface charge is 0 mC/m². A range of solid-state nanopores was fabricated with d_pore values of 20–50 nm and L_pore ≈ 5 nm. The expected conductance values in this nanopore size range for high and low surface charge density samples would be approximately 1100–6100 and 940–5900 nS, respectively. Open conductance values of individual solid-state nanopores were measured by ramping at 0.4 mV/s over ±10 mV. The conductance of the ~25 nm diameter nanopore sample, free of bilayer (Figures 5A and 6), was measured to be 2765 nS, which falls in the reasonable range of conductance values for 20–50 nm nanopores.

**Simultaneous AFM and Electrical Recording of a Suspended Lipid Bilayer.** Following the deposition of 1,2-diphtanyloyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DiPhyPC) bilayers...
on the nanopore support mounted in the double-chamber cup system, the pore conductance decreased to <10 pS, as measured in the range ±100 mV (Figure 5C, red). The capacitance of the device with the bilayer was measured to be ∼375 pF. Contributing factors to this value may include current passing through the Al2O3 layer to the graphene sheet, which increases the capacitive area and the geometry of a thin membrane.1,4,48 However, capacitances in these ranges are frequently utilized for planar lipid bilayer (PLB) recording of ion channels.49 In other experiments, partial sealing of the same nanopore was observed by conductance value to drop only to ∼0.83 nS (data not shown), indicating an incomplete seal.

The integrity of the fully sealed suspended lipid bilayer, as determined by capacitance and electrical recording, remained stable while engaging the AFM (Figure 7). The interaction force of the AFM was minimized such that it did not interfere with the activity or structure of membrane. The AFM images in Figure 7A,B of the suspended bilayer over the nanopore shows complete coverage. The electrical recording and simultaneously obtained AFM images of the bilayer over the nanopore are shown in Figure 7. Increases in noise were observed during the adjustment of the Faraday cage during the electrical recording. Throughout the AFM imaging (>1 h), switching of the voltage bias did not impact the conductance value of the bilayer.

**DISCUSSION**

We have developed a nanoscale thin-film support integrated with our newly developed double chamber capable of simultaneous electrical recording and AFM imaging of biological membranes and membrane proteins. The practicality and benefit of this system was demonstrated by structural imaging while measuring the ion-insulating properties of suspended lipid bilayer membranes.

Each step in the fabrication of the nanopore support was chosen with consideration for the ease of fabrication as well as function. A silicon dioxide film on silicon is an ideal material combination to use for the base structure of the nanopore support because of its well-characterized electrical properties, reproducibility, and commercial availability.32,42,50 Silicon dioxide provides an insulating coating to the large area of the substrate, an essential property to isolate the two compartments of the electrical recording setup. FIB offers a fast and easily
controlled approach for opening the 1 μm hole in the sample to allow for diffusion of electrolytes through the nanopore. Graphene binds tightly to the SiO₂ upon drying, which secures it as a suspended insulating membrane over the FIB gapinge hole. In contrast to the multilayer graphene-based structure, similar size range may be individually probed in future nanopore, as in Figure 4C, suggests membrane proteins in a solid-state nanopore by AFM could potentially be used in single-molecule studies by functionalizing the AFM tip. Additionally, the high-resolution AFM imaging of a 20 nm nanopore, as in Figure 4C, suggests membrane proteins in a similar size range may be individually probed in future simultaneous structure–conductance studies. Individual ion channels in supported membranes have often been resolved at larger scan sizes. These solid-state nanopores are therefore suitable for the intended application of ion channel studies. With this technology, the structure of suspended bilayers or membrane proteins in suspended bilayers may be explored to achieve a better understanding of their function.

Future work with lipid bilayers and the nanopore substrates will investigate the conductance of membrane proteins. The aim of these efforts will be to resolve individual open and closed channel structures localized in the suspended bilayer and to correlate characteristic channel conductances.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The use of a defined solid-state single-nanopore support in AFM allows for localized characterization in and around the nanopore. We have used this nanopore support to combine both imaging with AFM and functional mapping with bilayer electrical recording. We show that single solid-state nanopores can be fabricated in graphene reinforced with Al₂O₃. The hierarchy of the sample structure allows for quick and easy location of the single nanopore in AFM. This enables accurate identification of a nanoporous thin film, such as lipid bilayers with embedded ion channels, when suspended over this nanopore. The presence of suspended bilayers across the electrical recording path was confirmed and characterized through conductance and capacitance measurements (Figure 5). Small scan sizes, <1 μm, and repeated stable imaging of the suspended bilayers (Figure 7) suggest high-resolution imaging of thin lipid membranes and membrane proteins is possible. Correlated structure and activity information on ion channels obtained using this integrated system will open the door for the study of basic physiological and biological systems as well as for defining the underlying mechanisms of pathophysiology and diseases, including neurodegenerative diseases, drug addiction, biological pathways, and protein structures. The system described here can be applied more broadly to other thin-film-based techniques, including molecular separation, DNA sequencing, and catalysis.
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