

# Interpretation Functors II

Lorna Gregory

January 2021

Università della Campania

## Recap

Let  $R$  be a ring. A **pp- $n$ -formula** (over  $R$ ) is a formula

$$\varphi(\bar{x}) := \exists \bar{y} (\bar{x} \ \bar{y})A = 0$$

where  $A$  is an  $(n + m) \times l$  matrix with entries in  $R$ ,  $\bar{x}$  an  $n$ -tuple of variables and  $\bar{y}$  an  $m$ -tuple of variables. Write  $\text{pp}_R^n$  for the set of pp- $n$ -formulae over  $R$ .

For  $M$  an  $R$ -module and  $\varphi \in \text{pp}_R^n$ , we write  $\varphi(M)$  for the solution set of  $\varphi$  in  $M$ .

A full subcategory  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \text{Mod-}R$  is called a **definable subcategory** if it is the form

$$\mathcal{D} = \{M \in \text{Mod-}R \mid \varphi_i(M) = \psi_i(M) \text{ for all } i \in I\}$$

where  $\varphi_i, \psi_i$  are pairs of pp-formulae indexed by  $I$ .

# Interpretation functors

## Definition

Let  $R, S$  be rings and  $\mathcal{D}$  a definable subcategory of  $\text{Mod-}S$ . Suppose that  $\varphi, \psi$  are pp- $n$ -formulae over  $S$  and that for each  $r \in R$ ,  $\rho_r(\overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_2)$  is a pp- $2n$ -formula in variables  $\overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_2$  each of length  $n$ .

Suppose that for all  $M \in \mathcal{D}$  the following hold:

1.  $\varphi(M) \supseteq \psi(M)$
2. for all  $r \in R$ ,  $\rho_r(\overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_2)$  defines an endomorphism  $\rho_r^M$  of the abelian group  $\varphi(M)/\psi(M)$
3.  $\varphi(M)/\psi(M)$  equipped with the  $\rho_r^M$  actions is an  $R$ -module.

Then  $(\varphi, \psi, (\rho_r)_{r \in R})$  defines an additive functor

$$I : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \text{Mod-}R, \quad M \mapsto (\varphi(M)/\psi(M), (\rho_r^M)_{r \in R}).$$

We call any such functor an **interpretation functor**.



Let  $R, S$  be rings.

### Theorem (Krause, Prest)

*Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a definable subcategory of  $\text{Mod-}S$ . An additive functor  $I : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Mod-}R$  is an interpretation functor if and only if  $I$  commutes with direct limits and products.*



## Interpretation functors - Examples coming from algebra.

Let  $R, S$  be rings. Let  ${}_R B_S$  be an  $R$ - $S$ -bimodule.

- ▶ If  $B_S$  is finitely presented then  $\text{Hom}_S(B, -) : \text{Mod-}S \rightarrow \text{Mod-}R$  is an interpretation functor.
- ▶ If  ${}_R B$  is finitely presented then  $- \otimes_R B : \text{Mod-}R \rightarrow \text{Mod-}S$  is an interpretation functor.
- ▶ If  $B_S \in \mathbf{FP}_2$  then  $\text{Ext}_S(B, -) : \text{Mod-}S \rightarrow \text{Mod-}R$  is an interpretation functor.
- ▶ If  ${}_R B \in \mathbf{FP}_2$  then  $\text{Tor}_R(B, -) : \text{Mod-}R \rightarrow \text{Mod-}S$  is an interpretation functor.

In particular, the equivalences coming from classical tilting are interpretation functors between definable subcategories.



Let  $R, S$  be rings.

### Proposition

Let  $I : \text{Mod-}S \rightarrow \text{Mod-}R$  be an interpretation functor such that  $\langle I\text{Mod-}S \rangle = \text{Mod-}R$ . There is an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a lattice embedding  $i : \text{pp}_R^1 \hookrightarrow \text{pp}_S^n$ .

**Reminder:** An  $R$ -module  $M$  is **pure-injective** if any system of (inhomogeneous) linear equations over  $R$ , in arbitrary many variables, which is finitely solvable in  $M$ , has a solution in  $M$ .

### Remark

Let  $I : \text{Mod-}S \rightarrow \text{Mod-}R$  be an interpretation functor. If  $M \in \text{Mod-}S$  is pure-injective then  $IM$  is pure-injective.

### Theorem (G.)

Let  $I : \text{Mod-}S \rightarrow \text{Mod-}R$  be an interpretation functor such that  $I$  maps finitely presented  $S$ -modules to finitely presented  $R$ -modules. If  $I$  is full on finitely presented  $S$ -modules then  $I$  is full on pure-injective  $S$ -modules.



# Finite-dimensional algebras

## Conjecture (Prest 80's)

*Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is of wild representation type then the theory of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules interprets the theory of  $k\langle x, y \rangle$ -modules.*

*Hence, if  $k$  is countable,  $\mathcal{A}$  has undecidable theory of modules.*

*Conversely, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is tame then the theory of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules is decidable.*



## What does “theory of $\mathcal{A}$ -modules” mean?

A (first order) **sentence in the language of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules** is a statement, which can be assigned a truth value, built up from homogenous linear equations over  $\mathcal{A}$  in variables  $\{x_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $\exists x_i$ ,  $\forall x_i$ , NOT, AND and OR.

Examples: Let  $r, s \in \mathcal{A}$ .

$$\text{NOT}(\forall x_1 \exists x_2 \exists x_3 \ x_1 + x_2 \cdot r + x_3 \cdot s = 0)$$

is a sentence in the language of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules.

$$\forall x_1 \text{ AND } x_2 \cdot r \quad \text{and} \quad x_1 + x_2 \cdot r = 0$$

are not sentences in the language of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules.

The **theory of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules** is the set of all sentences in the language of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules which are true in all  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules.





From now on  $k$  is an algebraically closed field.

### Definition

A finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is **wild** if:  
there exists a **representation embedding**

$$F : \text{fin-}k\langle x, y \rangle \rightarrow \text{fin-}\mathcal{A}$$

i.e.  $F$  is an exact  $k$ -linear functor which reflects isomorphism classes and sends indecomposable modules to indecomposable modules.

Equivalently, for every finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  there exists a representation embedding

$$F : \text{fin-}\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \text{fin-}\mathcal{A}.$$



# Finite-dimensional algebras

## Conjecture (Prest 80's)

*Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is of wild representation type then the theory of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules interprets the theory of  $k\langle x, y \rangle$ -modules.*

*Hence, if  $k$  is countable,  $\mathcal{A}$  has undecidable theory of modules.*

*Conversely, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is tame then the theory of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules is decidable.*



## Definition

A finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is **tame** if, for every dimension  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a finite number of  $\mathcal{A}$ - $k[x]$ -bimodules  $M_1, \dots, M_{u(d)}$ , which are finitely generated and free as  $k[x]$ -modules, such that almost all  $d$ -dimensional indecomposable  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules are of the form

$$M_i \otimes_{k[x]} k[x]/\langle x - \lambda \rangle$$

for some  $1 \leq i \leq u(d)$  and some  $\lambda \in k$ .

## Theorem (Drozd)

*Every finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra is either tame or wild.*

## Definition

Let  $\mu(d)$  be the least possible value of  $u(d)$  in the definition of a tame algebra. The finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is **tame domestic** if  $\mu(d)$  is bounded.



## Theorem (G., Prest)

Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebras. If  $I : \text{Mod-}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Mod-}\mathcal{B}$  is a  $k$ -linear interpretation functor and  $\langle I\text{Mod-}\mathcal{A} \rangle = \text{Mod-}\mathcal{B}$  then:

- ▶ if  $\mathcal{A}$  is tame then  $\mathcal{B}$  is tame
- ▶ if  $\mathcal{A}$  is tame domestic then  $\mathcal{B}$  is tame domestic
- ▶ if  $\mathcal{A}$  is of polynomial growth then  $\mathcal{B}$  is of polynomial growth
- ▶ if  $\mathcal{A}$  is of non-exponential growth then  $\mathcal{B}$  is of non-exponential growth

Moreover, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is wild then there exists a  $k$ -linear interpretation functor  $I : \text{Mod-}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Mod-}\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\langle I\text{Mod-}\mathcal{A} \rangle = \text{Mod-}\mathcal{B}$ .

## Corollary

A finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is wild if and only if for every finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  there is a  $k$ -linear interpretation functor  $I : \text{Mod-}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Mod-}\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\langle I\text{Mod-}\mathcal{A} \rangle = \text{Mod-}\mathcal{B}$ .



## Wild implies undecidability

A finite dimensional  $k$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is **finitely controlled wild** if there is a representation embedding

$$F : \text{fin-}k\langle x, y \rangle \longrightarrow \text{fin-}\mathcal{A}$$

and  $C \in \text{fin-}k\langle x, y \rangle$  such that for all  $N, M \in \text{fin-}k\langle x, y \rangle$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(FM, FN) = F\text{Hom}(M, N) \oplus \text{Hom}(FM, FN)_C$$

where  $\text{Hom}(FM, FN)_C$  is the set of maps which factor through some  $C^n$ .

### Theorem (G., Prest)

*Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is finitely controlled wild then there is a  $k$ -linear essentially surjective interpretation functor  $I : \text{Mod-}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Mod-}k\mathbb{K}_3$ .*

### Corollary

*In the above situation, the theory of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules interprets the theory of  $k\mathbb{K}_3$ -modules. In particular, if  $k$  is countable, the theory of  $\mathcal{A}$ -Mod is undecidable.*