Cohen-Macaulayness of invariant rings is determined by inertia groups

Ben Blum-Smith

Northeastern

November 30, 2018

Ben Blum-Smith

Cohen-Macaulayness of invariant rings is dete

November 30, 2018 1 / 18

 $G \subset S_n$ acts on $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Problem: describe invariant subring.

Theorem (Fundamental Theorem on Symmetric Polynomials)
If
$$G = S_n$$
, then
 $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^G = \mathbb{Z}[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n],$
where $\sigma_1 = \sum_i x_i, \sigma_2 = \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j$, etc.

What if $G \subsetneq S_n$?

Over \mathbb{Q} :

Theorem (Kronecker 1881)

 $\mathbb{Q}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]^G$ is a free module over $\mathbb{Q}[\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_n]$.

Kronecker's contribution is not well-known, but a modern invariant theorist would see this as an immediate consequence of the Hochster-Eagon theorem.

Permutation invariants

Example

 $G = \langle (1234) \rangle \subset S_4$, acting on $\mathbb{Q}[x, y, z, w]$.

$$g_0 = 1$$

$$g_2 = xz + yw$$

$$g_3 = x^2y + y^2z + \dots$$

$$g_{4a} = x^2yz + y^2zw + \dots$$

$$g_{4b} = xy^2z + yz^2w + \dots$$

$$g_5 = x^2y^2z + y^2z^2w + \dots$$

is a basis over $\mathbb{Q}[\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_4]$.

$$x^{3}y^{2}z + y^{3}z^{2}w + \dots = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{3}g_{3} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{2}g_{4b} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{1}g_{5}$$

(日) (同) (三) (三)

э

Statement fails over \mathbb{Z} .

$$x^{3}y^{2}z + y^{3}z^{2}w + \dots = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{3}g_{3} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{2}g_{4b} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{1}g_{5}$$

Problem

For which $G \subset S_n$ does the statement of Kronecker's theorem hold over \mathbb{Z} ?

Equivalent to:

Problem

For which $G \subset S_n$ is $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^G$ a Cohen-Macaulay ring for any field k?

Let
$$k[\mathbf{x}] := k[x_1, ..., x_n].$$

Theorem (BBS '17)

If $G \subset S_n$ is generated by transpositions, double transpositions, and 3-cycles, then

 $k[\mathbf{x}]^G$

is Cohen-Macaulay regardless of k.

Theorem (BBS - Sophie Marques '18)

The converse is also true.

- k[x]^G is CM if the invariants k[Δ]^G of an appropriate Stanley-Reisner ring k[Δ] are CM (Garsia-Stanton '84; Reiner '03), where Δ is a specific triangulation of a ball.
- $k[\Delta]^G \cong k[\Delta/G]$ (Reiner '90).
- CMness of $k[\Delta/G]$ is equivalent to a purely topological condition on Δ/G :
 - $ilde{H}_i(\Delta/G;k) = 0$ for i < n-1, and
 - $H_i(\Delta/G, \Delta/G p; k) = 0$ for i < n-1 and $p \in \Delta/G$.

(Reisner '75; Munkres '84; Stanley '91; Duval '97)

• If G is generated by transpositions, double transpositions, and 3-cycles, then Δ/G is a ball (Lange '16).

By reasoning about the topological quotient Δ/G , I showed in my thesis (BBS '17) that if G is *not* generated by transpositions, double transpositions, and 3-cycles, then there is a field k such that $k[\Delta]^G$ is not CM.

However, the arguments of Garsia-Stanton '84 do not allow one to transfer this conclusion back to $k[\mathbf{x}]^G$.

After I defended, Sophie Marques proposed to transfer the *argument*, rather than the conclusion, from $k[\Delta]^G$ to $k[\mathbf{x}]^G$.

This necessitated a search for a commutative-algebraic fact to replace each topological fact we used.

Let X be a hausdorff topological space carrying an action by a finite group G. Let $x \in X$. Let G_x be the stabilizer of x for the action of G. Let X/G be the topological quotient, and let \overline{x} be the image of x in X/G.

Theorem (local structure in a quotient)

There is a neighborhood U of x, invariant under G_x , such that U/G_x is homeomorphic to a neighborhood of \overline{x} in X/G.

Proof: Pick U small enough so that if $gx \neq x$, then $gU \cap U = \emptyset$. Make it G_x -invariant by intersecting its G_x -images. Then the quotient map restricted to U factors through U/G_x and the induced map on U/G_x is injective. Since group quotient maps are open maps, this makes it a homeomorphism.

- **(())) (())) ())**

What is the commutative-algebraic analogue?

Let A be a ring.

- $x \in X$ becomes $\mathfrak{P} \triangleleft A$.
- X/G becomes A^G .
- $\overline{x} \in X/G$ becomes $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P} \cap A^G$.
- G_x becomes $I_G(\mathfrak{P}) := \{g \in G : a ga \in \mathfrak{P}, \forall a \in A\}$. (Not $D_G(\mathfrak{P})!$)
- The appropriate analogue for the sufficiently small neighborhood of x
 in X/G is the strict henselization of A^G at p.

Let C be a (commutative, unital) ring. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of C. The *strict henselization* of C at \mathfrak{p} is a local ring $C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{hs}$ together with a local map $C_{\mathfrak{p}} \to C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{hs}$ with the following properties:

- **1** $C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{hs}$ is a henselian ring.
- 2 $\kappa(C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{hs})$ is the separable closure of $\kappa(C_{\mathfrak{p}})$.
- $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{hs}$ are simultaneously noetherian (resp. CM).
- $C_{\mathfrak{p}} \to C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{hs}$ is faithfully flat of relative dimension zero.

 $C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{hs}$ is universal with respect to 1 and 2. It should be viewed as a "very small neighborhood of \mathfrak{p} in C."

Let A be a ring with an action by a finite group G. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of A^G . Let $C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{hs}$ be the strict henselization of A^G at \mathfrak{p} . Define

$$A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{hs} := A \otimes_{A^G} C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{hs}$$

Note G acts on A_{p}^{hs} through its action on A.

Let \mathfrak{P} be a prime of A lying over \mathfrak{p} and let \mathfrak{Q} be a prime of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{hs}$ pulling back to \mathfrak{P} . Recall $I_G(\mathfrak{P}) := \{g \in G : a - ga \in \mathfrak{P}, \forall a \in A\}$. (Fact: $I_G(\mathfrak{Q}) = I_G(\mathfrak{P})$.)

Theorem (Raynaud '70)

There is a ring isomorphism $(A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{hs})_{\mathfrak{Q}}^{l_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{P})} \cong C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{hs}$.

This is the commutative-algebraic analogue!

Ben Blum-Smith

Corollary (BBS - Marques '18)

Assume A^G is noetherian. Then TFAE:

- A^G is CM.
- For every prime p of A^G and every D of A^{hs} pulling back to a P of A lying over p,

 $(A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{hs})_{\mathfrak{Q}}^{I_{G}(\mathfrak{P})}$

is CM.

Sor every maximal p of A^G, there is some Q of A^{hs}_p pulling back to a P of A lying over p, such that

$$(A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{hs})_{\mathfrak{Q}}^{I_{G}(\mathfrak{P})}$$

is CM.

Back to the permutation group context. Let $k[\mathbf{x}] = \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, for some prime p to be determined later.

Let N be the subgroup of G generated by transpositions, double transpositions, and 3-cycles.

It suffices to find, when $N \subsetneq G$, a $\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft k[\mathbf{x}]^G$ such that the corresponding $C_{\mathfrak{p}}^{hs}$ is not CM.

Permutation invariants - "only-if" direction

Note that G/N acts on $k[\mathbf{x}]^N$.

Theorem (BBS - Marques '18)

If there is a prime \mathfrak{P} of $k[\mathbf{x}]^N$ whose inertia group $I_{G/N}(\mathfrak{P})$ is a p-group, then $k[\mathbf{x}]^G$ is not CM.

(Recall $k = \mathbb{F}_{p}$.)

The main ingredients of the proof are:

- the above result which says that CMness at 𝔅 ∩ k[x]^G only depends on the action of I_{G/N}(𝔅) on the appropriate strict henselization.
- a theorem of Lorenz and Pathak '01 which shows that such $I_{G/N}(\mathfrak{P})$ obstructs CMness.

It also uses the "if" direction to conclude that $k[\mathbf{x}]^N$ is CM. The invocation of Lorenz and Pathak needs this.

So the problem is reduced to finding a prime number p and a prime ideal \mathfrak{P} of $k[\mathbf{x}]^N$ such that $I_{G/N}(\mathfrak{P})$ is a p-group, when $N \subsetneq G$.

Let Π_n be the poset of partitions of [n], ordered by refinement.

Each $\pi \in \prod_n$ corresponds to the ideal $\mathfrak{P}^{\star}_{\pi}$ of $k[\mathbf{x}]$ generated by $x_i - x_j$ for each pair i, j in the same block of π . (Cf. the braid arrangement.)

Let G_{π}^{B} be the blockwise stabilizer of π in G, and let $G_{\pi}^{B}N/N$ be its image in G/N. If $\mathfrak{P}_{\pi} = \mathfrak{P}_{\pi}^{\star} \cap k[\mathbf{x}]^{N}$, one can show that

$$I_{G/N}(\mathfrak{P}_{\pi})=G_{\pi}^{B}N/N.$$

So we just need to find π such that $G_{\pi}^{B}N/N$ is a *p*-group.

Consider the map

$$\varphi: G \to \Pi_n$$

that sends a permutation g to the decomposition of [n] into orbits of g.

Proposition (BBS '17)

If $g \in G \setminus N$ is such that $\pi = \varphi(g)$ is minimal in $\varphi(G \setminus N)$, then $G_{\pi}^{B}N/N$ has prime order (and is generated by the image of g).

If $N \subsetneq G$, $G \setminus N$ is nonempty, so such g exists, and fixing p as the order of $G_{\pi}^{B}N/N$, we find that $k[\mathbf{x}]^{G}$ is not CM.

Thank you!

November 30, 2018