Cluster Structures of Double Bott-Samelson Cells

Daping Weng

Michigan State University

April 2019

Joint work with Linhui Shen

arXiv:1904.07992

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Motivation: Bott-Samelson Variety

• Let G, B, W be defined as usual. Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_l)$ be a reduced word of w. The Bott-Samelson variety associated to the reduced word \mathbf{i} is

$$P_{i_1} \underset{B}{\times} P_{i_2} \underset{B}{\times} \ldots \underset{B}{\times} P_{i_l} / B$$

where $P_i = B \sqcup Bs_i B$.

Motivation: Bott-Samelson Variety

• Let G, B, W be defined as usual. Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_l)$ be a reduced word of w. The Bott-Samelson variety associated to the reduced word \mathbf{i} is

$$P_{i_1} \underset{B}{\times} P_{i_2} \underset{B}{\times} \ldots \underset{B}{\times} P_{i_l} / B$$

where $P_i = B \sqcup Bs_i B$.

Note that

$$\mathsf{P}_{i_1} \underset{\mathsf{B}}{\times} \ldots \underset{\mathsf{B}}{\times} \mathsf{P}_{i_l} = \bigsqcup_{j \subset i} (\mathsf{B}_{s_{j_1}}\mathsf{B}) \underset{\mathsf{B}}{\times} \ldots \underset{\mathsf{B}}{\times} (\mathsf{B}_{s_{j_m}}\mathsf{B})$$

where $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$ runs over all subwords of \mathbf{i} (not necessarily reduced). These can be thought of as "Bott-Samelson cell".

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Motivation: Bott-Samelson Variety

• Let G, B, W be defined as usual. Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_l)$ be a reduced word of w. The Bott-Samelson variety associated to the reduced word \mathbf{i} is

$$P_{i_1} \underset{B}{\times} P_{i_2} \underset{B}{\times} \ldots \underset{B}{\times} P_{i_l} / B$$

where $P_i = B \sqcup Bs_i B$.

Note that

$$\mathsf{P}_{i_1} \underset{\mathsf{B}}{\times} \ldots \underset{\mathsf{B}}{\times} \mathsf{P}_{i_l} = \bigsqcup_{j \subset i} (\mathsf{B}_{s_{j_1}}\mathsf{B}) \underset{\mathsf{B}}{\times} \ldots \underset{\mathsf{B}}{\times} (\mathsf{B}_{s_{j_m}}\mathsf{B})$$

where $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$ runs over all subwords of \mathbf{i} (not necessarily reduced). These can be thought of as "Bott-Samelson cell".

■ Alternatively one can think of an element of $(Bs_{j_1}B) \underset{B}{\times} \ldots \underset{B}{\times} (Bs_{j_m}B)$ as a sequence of flags that satisfies the relative position conditions imposed by the simple reflections $s_{j_1}, s_{j_2}, \ldots, s_{j_m}$. So a "double Bott-Samelson cell" will then be two sequences of flags that satisfy two sequences of relative position conditions imposed by two words **i** and **j**.

■ Let G be the Kac-Peterson group (the smallest Kac-Moody group) associated to a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix and let B_± be the two opposite Borel subgroups.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- Let G be the Kac-Peterson group (the smallest Kac-Moody group) associated to a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix and let B_± be the two opposite Borel subgroups.
- Let $\mathcal{B}_{\pm} = \{$ Borel subgroups that are conjugates of $B_{\pm}\}$. Bruhat decomposition implies that the G-orbits in $\mathcal{B}_{+} \times \mathcal{B}_{+}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{-} \times \mathcal{B}_{-}$ are parametrized by the Weyl group W.

- Let G be the Kac-Peterson group (the smallest Kac-Moody group) associated to a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix and let B_± be the two opposite Borel subgroups.
- Let $\mathcal{B}_{\pm} = \{$ Borel subgroups that are conjugates of $B_{\pm}\}$. Bruhat decomposition implies that the G-orbits in $\mathcal{B}_{+} \times \mathcal{B}_{+}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{-} \times \mathcal{B}_{-}$ are parametrized by the Weyl group W.

Notation

- We use superscript to denote Borel subgroups in \mathcal{B}_+ , e.g. B^0 , B^1 , etc.
- We use subscript to denote Borel subgroups in \mathcal{B}_- , e.g. B_0 , B_1 , etc.
- We write $B^0 \xrightarrow{w} B^1$ if (B^0, B^1) is in the *w*-orbit in $\mathcal{B}_+ \times \mathcal{B}_+$.
- We write $B_0 \xrightarrow{w} B_1$ if (B_0, B_1) is in the *w*-orbit in $\mathcal{B}_- \times \mathcal{B}_-$.
- We write $B_0 B^0$ if $(B_0, B^0) = (gB_-, gB_+)$ for some $g \in G$.

- Let G be the Kac-Peterson group (the smallest Kac-Moody group) associated to a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix and let B_± be the two opposite Borel subgroups.
- Let $\mathcal{B}_{\pm} = \{$ Borel subgroups that are conjugates of $B_{\pm}\}$. Bruhat decomposition implies that the G-orbits in $\mathcal{B}_{+} \times \mathcal{B}_{+}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{-} \times \mathcal{B}_{-}$ are parametrized by the Weyl group W.

Notation

- We use superscript to denote Borel subgroups in \mathcal{B}_+ , e.g. B^0 , B^1 , etc.
- We use subscript to denote Borel subgroups in \mathcal{B}_- , e.g. B_0 , B_1 , etc.
- We write $B^0 \xrightarrow{w} B^1$ if (B^0, B^1) is in the *w*-orbit in $\mathcal{B}_+ \times \mathcal{B}_+$.
- We write $B_0 \xrightarrow{w} B_1$ if (B_0, B_1) is in the *w*-orbit in $\mathcal{B}_- \times \mathcal{B}_-$.
- We write $B_0 B^0$ if $(B_0, B^0) = (gB_-, gB_+)$ for some $g \in G$.

■ $B_+s_iB_+/B_+$ can be thought of as the moduli space of B_1 satisfying $B_0 \xrightarrow{s_i} B_1$ for a fixed B_0 .

Definition

Let *b* and *d* be two positive braids in the associated braid group. First choose a word (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m) for *b* and a word (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n) for *d*. The *undecorated double Bott-Samelson cell* Conf^{*b*}_{*d*}(\mathcal{B}) is defined to be

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{B}^{0} \xrightarrow{s_{i_{1}}} \mathsf{B}^{1} \xrightarrow{s_{i_{2}}} \dots \xrightarrow{s_{i_{m}}} \mathsf{B}^{m} \\ | & | \\ \mathsf{B}_{0} \xrightarrow{s_{j_{1}}} \mathsf{B}_{1} \xrightarrow{s_{j_{2}}} \dots \xrightarrow{s_{j_{n}}} \mathsf{B}_{n} \end{array} \right\} \middle/ \mathsf{G}$$

Definition

Let *b* and *d* be two positive braids in the associated braid group. First choose a word (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m) for *b* and a word (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n) for *d*. The *undecorated double Bott-Samelson cell* Conf^{*b*}_{*d*}(\mathcal{B}) is defined to be

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{B}^{0} \xrightarrow{s_{i_{1}}} \mathsf{B}^{1} \xrightarrow{s_{i_{2}}} \dots \xrightarrow{s_{i_{m}}} \mathsf{B}^{m} \\ | & | \\ \mathsf{B}_{0} \xrightarrow{s_{j_{1}}} \mathsf{B}_{1} \xrightarrow{s_{j_{2}}} \dots \xrightarrow{s_{j_{n}}} \mathsf{B}_{n} \end{array}\right\} \middle/ \mathsf{G}$$

Remark

The resulting space does not depend on the choice of words for b and d.

• Let $U_{\pm} := [B_{\pm}, B_{\pm}]$ and define *decorated flag varieties* $A_{\pm} := G/U_{\pm}$. We denote decorated flags with a symbol A instead of B.

Definition

The decorated double Bott-Samelson cell $\operatorname{Conf}_d^b(\mathcal{A})$ is defined to be

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} A^{0} \stackrel{s_{i_{1}}}{\Rightarrow} B^{1} \stackrel{s_{i_{2}}}{\Rightarrow} \dots \stackrel{s_{i_{m}}}{\Rightarrow} B^{m} \\ | & | \\ B_{0} \stackrel{s_{j_{1}}}{\Rightarrow} B_{1} \stackrel{s_{j_{2}}}{\Rightarrow} \dots \stackrel{s_{j_{n}}}{\Rightarrow} A_{n} \end{array} \right\} \middle/ G$$

■ Let U_± := [B_±, B_±] and define *decorated flag varieties* A_± := G/U_±. We denote decorated flags with a symbol A instead of B.

Definition

The decorated double Bott-Samelson cell $\operatorname{Conf}_d^b(\mathcal{A})$ is defined to be

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{A}^{0} \stackrel{s_{i_{1}}}{\Rightarrow} \mathsf{B}^{1} \stackrel{s_{i_{2}}}{\Rightarrow} \dots \stackrel{s_{i_{m}}}{\Rightarrow} \mathsf{B}^{m} \\ | & | \\ \mathsf{B}_{0} \stackrel{s_{j_{1}}}{\Rightarrow} \mathsf{B}_{1} \stackrel{s_{j_{2}}}{\Rightarrow} \dots \stackrel{s_{j_{n}}}{\Rightarrow} \mathsf{A}_{n} \end{array} \right\} \middle/ \mathsf{C}$$

■ Decorated double Bott-Samelson cell can be viewed as a generalization of double Bruhat cells B₊uB₊ ∩ B₋vB₋. Double Bruhat cells are examples of cluster varieties and are studied by Berenstein, Fomin, and Zelevinsky [BFZ05], Fock and Goncharov [FG06], and many others.

• Let $U_{\pm} := [B_{\pm}, B_{\pm}]$ and define *decorated flag varieties* $A_{\pm} := G/U_{\pm}$. We denote decorated flags with a symbol A instead of B.

Definition

The decorated double Bott-Samelson cell $\operatorname{Conf}_d^b(\mathcal{A})$ is defined to be

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{A}^{0} \stackrel{s_{i_{1}}}{\Rightarrow} \mathsf{B}^{1} \stackrel{s_{i_{2}}}{\Rightarrow} \dots \stackrel{s_{i_{m}}}{\Rightarrow} \mathsf{B}^{m} \\ | & | \\ \mathsf{B}_{0} \stackrel{s_{j_{1}}}{\Rightarrow} \mathsf{B}_{1} \stackrel{s_{j_{2}}}{\Rightarrow} \dots \stackrel{s_{j_{n}}}{\Rightarrow} \mathsf{A}_{n} \end{array} \right\} \middle/ \mathsf{C}$$

■ Decorated double Bott-Samelson cell can be viewed as a generalization of double Bruhat cells B₊uB₊ ∩ B₋vB₋. Double Bruhat cells are examples of cluster varieties and are studied by Berenstein, Fomin, and Zelevinsky [BFZ05], Fock and Goncharov [FG06], and many others.

Theorem (Shen-W.)

The decorated double Bott-Samelson cells $\operatorname{Conf}_d^b(\mathcal{A})$ are smooth affine varieties.

■ We equip each double Bott-Samelson cell (both undecorated and decorated) with an atlas of algebraic torus charts, parametrized by a choice of words for *b* and *d* and a triangulation of the "trapezoid".

$$\begin{array}{c|c} B^{0} \xrightarrow{s_{1}} B^{1} \xrightarrow{s_{1}} B^{2} \xrightarrow{s_{2}} B^{3} \\ & & | & | \\ B_{0} \xrightarrow{s_{2}} B_{1} \xrightarrow{s_{1}} B_{2} \xrightarrow{s_{2}} B_{3} \xrightarrow{s_{3}} B_{4} \xrightarrow{s_{3}} B_{5} \end{array}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

We equip each double Bott-Samelson cell (both undecorated and decorated) with an atlas of algebraic torus charts, parametrized by a choice of words for b and d and a triangulation of the "trapezoid".



There are two kinds of moves available to us:



・ロト ・ 日下 ・ 日下 ・ 日下 ・ 今日・

• We actually consider two versions of decorated double Bott-Samelson cells, one for $G_{\rm sc}$ and one for $G_{\rm ad}$ (analogues of the simply-connected form and the adjoint form in the semisimple cases).

• We actually consider two versions of decorated double Bott-Samelson cells, one for $G_{\rm sc}$ and one for $G_{\rm ad}$ (analogues of the simply-connected form and the adjoint form in the semisimple cases).

■ The natural projection $G_{sc} \rightarrow G_{ad}$ gives rise to natural projection maps $\mathcal{A}_{sc} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{ad}$ and $p : \operatorname{Conf}_d^b(\mathcal{A}_{sc}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Conf}_d^b(\mathcal{A}_{ad})$.

- We actually consider two versions of decorated double Bott-Samelson cells, one for $G_{\rm sc}$ and one for $G_{\rm ad}$ (analogues of the simply-connected form and the adjoint form in the semisimple cases).
- The natural projection $G_{sc} \rightarrow G_{ad}$ gives rise to natural projection maps $\mathcal{A}_{sc} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{ad}$ and $p : \operatorname{Conf}_d^b(\mathcal{A}_{sc}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Conf}_d^b(\mathcal{A}_{ad})$.

Theorem (Shen-W.)

The atlas of algebraic torus charts are related by birational maps called cluster mutations. These charts equips $\mathcal{O}\left(\operatorname{Conf}_{d}^{b}(\mathcal{A}_{sc})\right)$ with the structure of an upper cluster algebra, and equips $\mathcal{O}\left(\operatorname{Conf}_{d}^{b}(\mathcal{A}_{ad})\right)$ with the structure of an upper cluster Poisson algebra. The pair $\left(\operatorname{Conf}_{d}^{b}(\mathcal{A}_{sc}), \operatorname{Conf}_{d}^{b}(\mathcal{A}_{ad})\right)$ form a Fock-Goncharov cluster ensemble.

Reflection Maps between double Bott-Samelson cells

■ We constructed biregular maps called *reflection maps*:

 $\operatorname{Conf}_d^{b_{s_i}}(\mathcal{B}) \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Conf}_{d_{s_i}}^b(\mathcal{B}) \qquad \operatorname{Conf}_d^{s_ib}(\mathcal{B}) \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Conf}_{s_id}^b(\mathcal{B}).$

They are induced by moves that look like the following:



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Reflection Maps between double Bott-Samelson cells

■ We constructed biregular maps called *reflection maps*:

 $\operatorname{Conf}_d^{b_{s_i}}(\mathcal{B}) \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Conf}_{d_{s_i}}^b(\mathcal{B}) \qquad \operatorname{Conf}_d^{s_ib}(\mathcal{B}) \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Conf}_{s_id}^b(\mathcal{B}).$

They are induced by moves that look like the following:



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

These reflection maps are Poisson and respect the cluster structures.

Reflection Maps between double Bott-Samelson cells

We constructed biregular maps called reflection maps:

 $\operatorname{Conf}_d^{b_{s_i}}(\mathcal{B}) \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Conf}_{d_{s_i}}^b(\mathcal{B}) \qquad \operatorname{Conf}_d^{s_ib}(\mathcal{B}) \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Conf}_{s_id}^b(\mathcal{B}).$

They are induced by moves that look like the following:



• These reflection maps are Poisson and respect the cluster structures.

• One can think of such reflection maps as movement of tangles in a link.

$$\operatorname{Conf}_{s_1}^{s_1s_2}(\mathcal{B}) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{Conf}_e^{s_1s_1s_2}(\mathcal{B})$$



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

Cluster Donaldson-Thomas Transformation

 One important conjecture in cluster theory is the Fock-Goncharov cluster duality [FG09], which conjectures the existence of canonical bases in an upper cluster algebra and its corresponding upper cluster Poisson algebra.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Cluster Donaldson-Thomas Transformation

- One important conjecture in cluster theory is the Fock-Goncharov cluster duality [FG09], which conjectures the existence of canonical bases in an upper cluster algebra and its corresponding upper cluster Poisson algebra.
- Part of a sufficient condition [GHKK18] [GS18] of the duality conjecture is the existence of the cluster Donaldson-Thomas transformation.

Cluster Donaldson-Thomas Transformation

- One important conjecture in cluster theory is the Fock-Goncharov cluster duality [FG09], which conjectures the existence of canonical bases in an upper cluster algebra and its corresponding upper cluster Poisson algebra.
- Part of a sufficient condition [GHKK18] [GS18] of the duality conjecture is the existence of the cluster Donaldson-Thomas transformation.

Theorem (Shen-W.)

Cluster Donaldson-Thomas transformations exist on double Bott-Samelson cells and are given by compositions of reflection maps and a transposition map. Reflection maps intertwine the cluster Donaldson-Thomas transformations on different double Bott-Samelson cells. By verifying the sufficient condition, we prove the cluster duality conjecture for double Bott-Samelson cells.



Periodicity of DT in the Semisimple Case

■ For the rest of the talk, let G be semisimple and let w₀ denote the longest Weyl group element.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Periodicity of DT in the Semisimple Case

■ For the rest of the talk, let G be semisimple and let w₀ denote the longest Weyl group element.

Theorem (Shen-W.)

Let G be a semisimple group. Let b be a positive braid and let m, n be two positive integers such that $b^m = w_0^{2n}$. Then the order of the cluster Donaldson-Thomas transformation of $\operatorname{Conf}_b^e(\mathcal{B})$ is finite and divides 2(m + n).

Periodicity of DT in the Semisimple Case

■ For the rest of the talk, let G be semisimple and let w₀ denote the longest Weyl group element.

Theorem (Shen-W.)

Let G be a semisimple group. Let b be a positive braid and let m, n be two positive integers such that $b^m = w_0^{2n}$. Then the order of the cluster Donaldson-Thomas transformation of $\operatorname{Conf}_b^e(\mathcal{B})$ is finite and divides 2(m + n).

Example

Suppose $G = SL_3$ and $b = s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2$. Then $b^3 = w_0^4$ in the braid group, and therefore $DT^{10} = Id$ on $Conf_b^e(\mathcal{B})$. Intertwining by a reflection map, this computation also implies that $DT^{10} = Id$ on $Conf_{w_0}^{s_1}(\mathcal{B})$ in the double Bruhat cell case as well.

 One version of the conjecture (formulated by Keller [Kel13]) is about the periodicities of the Donaldson-Thomas transformations associated to products of two Dynkin diagrams.

Theorem (Keller)

Let D and D' be Dynkin quivers with Coxeter numbers h and h'. Then $DT_{D\boxtimes D'}^{2(h+h')} = Id.$

 One version of the conjecture (formulated by Keller [Kel13]) is about the periodicities of the Donaldson-Thomas transformations associated to products of two Dynkin diagrams.

Theorem (Keller)

Let D and D' be Dynkin quivers with Coxeter numbers h and h'. Then $DT_{D\boxtimes D'}^{2(h+h')} = Id.$

• Using our result on the periodicity of DT on double Bott-Samelson cells, we can give a new geometric proof of the periodicity conjecture in the case of $D \boxtimes A_n$.

 One version of the conjecture (formulated by Keller [Kel13]) is about the periodicities of the Donaldson-Thomas transformations associated to products of two Dynkin diagrams.

Theorem (Keller)

Let D and D' be Dynkin quivers with Coxeter numbers h and h'. Then $DT_{D\boxtimes D'}^{2(h+h')} = Id.$

- Using our result on the periodicity of DT on double Bott-Samelson cells, we can give a new geometric proof of the periodicity conjecture in the case of $D \boxtimes A_n$.
- Give *D* a bipartite coloring.



 $b=s_2s_4s_5, \quad w=s_1s_3$

■ Consider the double Bott-Samelson cell $Conf_{wbw...}^{bwb...}(B)$, where the number of *b* and *w* in each braid sum up to n + 1.



• Consider the double Bott-Samelson cell $\operatorname{Conf}_{wbw...}^{bwb...}(\mathcal{B})$, where the number of *b* and *w* in each braid sum up to n + 1.



• Note that bw = c and $\operatorname{Conf}_{wbw...}^{bwb...}(\mathcal{B}) \cong \operatorname{Conf}_{c^{n+1}}^{e}(\mathcal{B}).$

■ Consider the double Bott-Samelson cell $Conf_{wbw...}^{bwb...}(B)$, where the number of *b* and *w* in each braid sum up to n + 1.



- Note that bw = c and $\operatorname{Conf}_{wbw...}^{bwb...}(\mathcal{B}) \cong \operatorname{Conf}_{c^{n+1}}^{e}(\mathcal{B}).$
- Let *h* be the Coxeter number of *D*. Since $(c^{n+1})^h = w_0^{2(n+1)}$, our result implies that $\mathrm{DT}_{D\boxtimes A_n}^{2(h+n+1)} = \mathrm{Id}$.

Thank you!

Bibliography



A. Berenstein, S. Fomin, and A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras III: Upper bounds and double Bruhat cells, Duke Math. J. 126 (2005), no. 1, 1–52, arXiv:math/0305434.



V. Fock and A. Goncharov, *Cluster X-varieties, amalgamation and Poisson-Lie groups*, Algebraic Geometry and Number Theory, In honor of Vladimir Drinfeld's 50th birthday, Birkhäuser Boston (2006), 27–68, arXiv:math/0508408.

_____, Cluster ensembles, quantization and the dilogarithm, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. 42 (2009), no. 6, 865–930, arXiv:math/0311245.



M. Gross, P. Hacking, S. Keel, and M. Kontsevich, *Canonical bases for cluster algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (2018), no. 2, 497–608, arXiv:1411.1394.



B. Keller, The periodicty conjecture for pairs of Dynkin diagrams, Ann. Of Math. 177 (2013), no. 1, 111-170, arXiv:1001.1531.

・ロット (雪) (日) (日) (日)