

Gorenstein Projective Modules for the Working Algebraist

Xiuhua Luo

Nantong University, China

xiuhualuo@ntu.edu.cn

Maurice Auslander Distinguished Lectures and International Conference

April 26, 2018

Overview

1 Background

- Definition and Properties
- Applications

2 The explicit construction of Gorenstein projective modules

- Upper Triangular Matrix Rings
- Path Algebras of Acyclic Quivers
- Tensor Products of algebras

Gorenstein projective modules (Enochs and Jenda 1995)

Let R be a ring. A module M is *Gorenstein projective*, if there exists a complete projective resolution

$$P^\bullet = \cdots \longrightarrow P^{-1} \longrightarrow P^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} P^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

such that $M \cong \text{Ker } d^0$.

Gorenstein projective modules (Enochs and Jenda 1995)

Let R be a ring. A module M is *Gorenstein projective*, if there exists a complete projective resolution

$$P^\bullet = \cdots \longrightarrow P^{-1} \longrightarrow P^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} P^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

such that $M \cong \text{Ker } d^0$.

Let $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ be the category of Gorenstein projective modules.

Background

- In 1967, M. Auslander introduced G-dimension zero modules over a Noetherian commutative local ring.

Background

- In 1967, M. Auslander introduced G-dimension zero modules over a Noetherian commutative local ring.
- In 1969, M. Auslander and M. Bridger generalized these modules to two-sided Noetherian ring.

Background

- In 1967, M. Auslander introduced G-dimension zero modules over a Noetherian commutative local ring.
- In 1969, M. Auslander and M. Bridger generalized these modules to two-sided Noetherian ring.
- Avramov, Buchweitz, Martsinkovsky and Reiten proved that a finitely generated module M over Noetherian ring R is Gorenstein projective if and only if $\text{G-dim}_R M=0$.

Properties

Theorem (Henrik Holm 2004)

Let R be a non-trivial associative ring. Then $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ is projectively resolving. That is to say, $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ contains the projective modules and is closed under extensions, direct summands, kernels of surjections.

Properties

Theorem (Henrik Holm 2004)

Let R be a non-trivial associative ring. Then $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ is projectively resolving. That is to say, $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ contains the projective modules and is closed under extensions, direct summands, kernels of surjections.

Theorem

If R is a Gorenstein ring, then $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ is contravariantly finite [Enochs and Jenda 1995], thus it is functorially finite, and hence $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ has AR-seqs [Auslander and Smalø 1980].

Properties

Theorem (Henrik Holm 2004)

Let R be a non-trivial associative ring. Then $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ is projectively resolving. That is to say, $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ contains the projective modules and is closed under extensions, direct summands, kernels of surjections.

Theorem

If R is a Gorenstein ring, then $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ is contravariantly finite [Enochs and Jenda 1995], thus it is functorially finite, and hence $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ has AR-seqs [Auslander and Smalø 1980].

Theorem (Apostolos Beligiannis 2005)

Let R be an Artin Gorenstein ring, then $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ is a Frobenius category whose projective-injective objects are exactly all the projective R -modules.

Properties

Theorem (Henrik Holm 2004)

Let R be a non-trivial associative ring. Then $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ is projectively resolving. That is to say, $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ contains the projective modules and is closed under extensions, direct summands, kernels of surjections.

Theorem

If R is a Gorenstein ring, then $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ is contravariantly finite [Enochs and Jenda 1995], thus it is functorially finite, and hence $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ has AR-seqs [Auslander and Smalø 1980].

Theorem (Apostolos Beligiannis 2005)

Let R be an Artin Gorenstein ring, then $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ is a Frobenius category whose projective-injective objects are exactly all the projective R -modules.

Applications

- Singularity theory: $\underline{\mathcal{GP}}(R) \cong D_{sg}(R)$ as triangular categories,
Buchweitz: when R is Gorenstein Noetherian ring;
Happel: when R is Gorenstein algebra.
Ringel and Pu Zhang: $\underline{\mathcal{GP}}(kQ \otimes_k k[x]/(X^2)) \cong D^b(kQ)/[1]$.

Applications

- Singularity theory: $\mathcal{GP}(R) \cong D_{sg}(R)$ as triangular categories,
Buchweitz: when R is Gorenstein Noetherian ring;
Happel: when R is Gorenstein algebra.
Ringel and Pu Zhang: $\mathcal{GP}(kQ \otimes_k k[x]/(X^2)) \cong D^b(kQ)/[1]$.
- Tate cohomology theory: $\hat{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = H^n \text{Hom}_R(T, N)$ where T is
a complete projective resolution in a complete resolution $T \xrightarrow{\nu} P \xrightarrow{\pi} M$
with v_n bijection when $n >> 0$. [Avramov and Martsinkovsky]

Applications

- Singularity theory: $\mathcal{GP}(R) \cong D_{sg}(R)$ as triangular categories,
Buchweitz: when R is Gorenstein Noetherian ring;
Happel: when R is Gorenstein algebra.
Ringel and Pu Zhang: $\mathcal{GP}(kQ \otimes_k k[x]/(X^2)) \cong D^b(kQ)/[1]$.
- Tate cohomology theory: $\hat{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = H^n \text{Hom}_R(T, N)$ where T is
a complete projective resolution in a complete resolution $T \xrightarrow{\nu} P \xrightarrow{\pi} M$
with v_n bijection when $n >> 0$. [Avramov and Martsinkovsky]
- the invariant subspaces of nilpotent operators:
Ringel and Schmidmeier: $\{(V, U, T) \mid T : V \rightarrow V, T^6 = 0, U \subset V, T(U) \subset U\} = \mathcal{GP}(k[T]/(T^6) \otimes_k k(\bullet \rightarrow \bullet))$;
Kussin, Lenzing and Meltzer showed a surprising link between
singularity theory and the invariant subspace problem of nilpotent
operators.
- ...

The explicit construction of Gorenstein projective modules

Let A and B be rings, M an $A - B$ -bimodule, and $T := \begin{pmatrix} A & {}_A M_B \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$. Assume that T is an Artin algebra and consider finitely generated T -modules. A T -module can be identified with a triple $(\begin{smallmatrix} X \\ Y \end{smallmatrix})_\phi$, where $X \in A\text{-mod}$, $Y \in B\text{-mod}$, and $\phi : M \otimes_B Y \rightarrow X$ is an A -map. $\mathcal{Gp}(T)$ is the category of finitely generated Gorenstein proj. T -modules.

The explicit construction of Gorenstein projective modules

Let A and B be rings, M an $A - B$ -bimodule, and $T := \begin{pmatrix} A & M_B \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$.

Assume that T is an Artin algebra and consider finitely generated T -modules. A T -module can be identified with a triple $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\phi$, where $X \in A\text{-mod}$, $Y \in B\text{-mod}$, and $\phi : M \otimes_B Y \rightarrow X$ is an A -map.

$\mathcal{Gp}(T)$ is the category of finitely generated Gorenstein proj. T -modules.

Theorem 2.1 (P. Zhang 2013)

Let A and B be algebras and M a $A - B$ -bimodule with $\text{pdim}_A M < \infty$, $\text{pdim}_B M < \infty$, $T := \begin{pmatrix} A & M_B \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\phi \in \mathcal{Gp}(T)$ if and only if $\phi : M \otimes_B Y \rightarrow X$ is an injective A -map, $\text{Coker } \phi \in \mathcal{Gp}(A)$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Gp}(B)$.

Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, e)$ be a finite acyclic quiver, k a field, A a f. d. k -algebra. Label the vertices as $1, 2, \dots, n$ such that for each arrow α , $s(\alpha) > e(\alpha)$. Then $A \otimes_k kQ$ is equivalent to an upper triangular algebra.

Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, e)$ be a finite acyclic quiver, k a field, A a f. d. k -algebra. Label the vertices as $1, 2, \dots, n$ such that for each arrow α , $s(\alpha) > e(\alpha)$. Then $A \otimes_k kQ$ is equivalent to an upper triangular algebra.

Theorem 2.2 (joint with P.Zhang 2013)

Let Q be a finite acyclic quiver, and A a finite dimensional algebra over a field k . Let $X = (X_i, X_\alpha)$ be a representation of Q over A . Then X is Gorenstein projective if and only if X is separated monic, and $\forall i \in Q_0$, $X_i \in \mathcal{G}p(A)$, $X_i / (\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=i}} \text{Im } X_\alpha) \in \mathcal{G}p(A)$.

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=i}}$$

Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, e)$ be a finite acyclic quiver, k a field, A a f. d. k -algebra. Label the vertices as $1, 2, \dots, n$ such that for each arrow α , $s(\alpha) > e(\alpha)$. Then $A \otimes_k kQ$ is equivalent to an upper triangular algebra.

Theorem 2.2 (joint with P.Zhang 2013)

Let Q be a finite acyclic quiver, and A a finite dimensional algebra over a field k . Let $X = (X_i, X_\alpha)$ be a representation of Q over A . Then X is Gorenstein projective if and only if X is separated monic, and $\forall i \in Q_0$, $X_i \in \mathcal{G}p(A)$, $X_i / (\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=i}} \text{Im } X_\alpha) \in \mathcal{G}p(A)$.

Defintion 2.3 separated monic representation

A representation $X = (X_i, X_\alpha)$ of Q over A is *separated monic*, if for each $i \in Q_0$, the A -map $\bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=i}} X_{s(\alpha)} \xrightarrow{(X_\alpha)} X_i$ is injective.

In fact, let $\Lambda = A \otimes_k kQ$, $D = \text{Hom}_k(-, k)$, S_i is a simple left kQ -module,

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha) = i}} e_{s(\alpha)} kQ \xrightarrow{(\alpha.)} e_i kQ \rightarrow D(S_i) \rightarrow 0, \text{ exact}$$

In fact, let $\Lambda = A \otimes_k kQ$, $D = \text{Hom}_k(-, k)$, S_i is a simple left kQ -module,

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=i}} e_{s(\alpha)} kQ \xrightarrow{(\alpha.)} e_i kQ \rightarrow D(S_i) \rightarrow 0, \text{ exact}$$

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=i}} A \otimes e_{s(\alpha)} kQ \xrightarrow{(1 \otimes \alpha.)} A \otimes e_i kQ \rightarrow A \otimes D(S_i) \rightarrow 0, \text{ exact}$$

In fact, let $\Lambda = A \otimes_k kQ$, $D = \text{Hom}_k(-, k)$, S_i is a simple left kQ -module,

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=i}} e_{s(\alpha)} kQ \xrightarrow{(\alpha.)} e_i kQ \rightarrow D(S_i) \rightarrow 0, \text{ exact}$$

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=i}} A \otimes e_{s(\alpha)} kQ \xrightarrow{(1 \otimes \alpha.)} A \otimes e_i kQ \rightarrow A \otimes D(S_i) \rightarrow 0, \text{ exact}$$

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=i}} (1 \otimes e_{s(\alpha)}) \Lambda \xrightarrow{(1 \otimes \alpha.)} (1 \otimes e_i) \Lambda \rightarrow A \otimes D(S_i) \rightarrow 0, \text{ exact}$$

In fact, let $\Lambda = A \otimes_k kQ$, $D = \text{Hom}_k(-, k)$, S_i is a simple left kQ -module,

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=i}} e_{s(\alpha)} kQ \xrightarrow{(\alpha.)} e_i kQ \rightarrow D(S_i) \rightarrow 0, \text{ exact}$$

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=i}} A \otimes e_{s(\alpha)} kQ \xrightarrow{(1 \otimes \alpha.)} A \otimes e_i kQ \rightarrow A \otimes D(S_i) \rightarrow 0, \text{ exact}$$

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=i}} (1 \otimes e_{s(\alpha)}) \Lambda \xrightarrow{(1 \otimes e_i) \Lambda} (1 \otimes e_i) \Lambda \rightarrow A \otimes D(S_i) \rightarrow 0, \text{ exact}$$

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=i}} X_{s(\alpha)} \xrightarrow{(X_\alpha)} X_i \rightarrow (A \otimes D(S_i)) \otimes_\Lambda X \rightarrow 0 \quad (*)$$

(*) is exact if and only if $\bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=i}} X_{s(\alpha)} \xrightarrow{(X_\alpha)} X_i$ is injective if and only if
 $Tor_i^\Lambda(A \otimes_k D(S_i), X) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and all simple left kQ -modules S_i .

(*) is exact if and only if $\bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=i}} X_{s(\alpha)} \xrightarrow{(X_\alpha)} X_i$ is injective if and only if

$\text{Tor}_i^\Lambda(A \otimes_k D(S_i), X) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and all simple left kQ -modules S_i .

Definion 2.4 (Generalized) separated monic representation

Let k be a field, A and B finite dimensional k -algebras, $\Lambda := A \otimes_k B$. A left Λ -module X is called a *(generalized) separated monic representation* of B over A , if

$$\text{Tor}_i^\Lambda(A \otimes_k D(S), X) = 0$$

for all $i \geq 1$ and all simple left B -modules S .

$smon(B, A)$: the category of separated monic representation of B over A .

Define

$$smon(B, \mathcal{G}p(A)) := \{X \in smon(B, A) \mid (A \otimes_k V) \otimes_{\Lambda} X \in \mathcal{G}p(A), \forall V_B\}.$$

Define

$$smon(B, \mathcal{G}p(A)) := \{X \in smon(B, A) \mid (A \otimes_k V) \otimes_{\Lambda} X \in \mathcal{G}p(A), \forall V_B\}.$$

Proposition 2.5

Let A and B be f. d. k -algebras. Then $smon(B, \mathcal{G}p(A)) \subset \mathcal{G}p(\Lambda)$.

Define

$$smon(B, \mathcal{G}p(A)) := \{X \in smon(B, A) \mid (A \otimes_k V) \otimes_{\Lambda} X \in \mathcal{G}p(A), \forall V_B\}.$$

Proposition 2.5

Let A and B be f. d. k -algebras. Then $smon(B, \mathcal{G}p(A)) \subset \mathcal{G}p(\Lambda)$.

Question: When does $\mathcal{G}p(\Lambda)$ coincide with $smon(B, \mathcal{G}p(A))$?

Define

$$smon(B, \mathcal{G}p(A)) := \{X \in smon(B, A) \mid (A \otimes_k V) \otimes_{\Lambda} X \in \mathcal{G}p(A), \forall V_B\}.$$

Proposition 2.5

Let A and B be f. d. k -algebras. Then $smon(B, \mathcal{G}p(A)) \subset \mathcal{G}p(\Lambda)$.

Question: When does $\mathcal{G}p(\Lambda)$ coincide with $smon(B, \mathcal{G}p(A))$?

Theorem 2.6 (joint with W. Hu, B. Xiong and G. Zhou 2018)

- Suppose that B is Gorenstein. Then $smon(B, \mathcal{G}p(A)) = \mathcal{G}p(\Lambda)$ if and only if $\text{gl.dim}(B) < \infty$.

Define

$$smon(B, \mathcal{G}p(A)) := \{X \in smon(B, A) \mid (A \otimes_k V) \otimes_{\Lambda} X \in \mathcal{G}p(A), \forall V_B\}.$$

Proposition 2.5

Let A and B be f. d. k -algebras. Then $smon(B, \mathcal{G}p(A)) \subset \mathcal{G}p(\Lambda)$.

Question: When does $\mathcal{G}p(\Lambda)$ coincide with $smon(B, \mathcal{G}p(A))$?

Theorem 2.6 (joint with W. Hu, B. Xiong and G. Zhou 2018)

- Suppose that B is Gorenstein. Then $smon(B, \mathcal{G}p(A)) = \mathcal{G}p(\Lambda)$ if and only if $\text{gl.dim}(B) < \infty$.
- Suppose that A is Gorenstein. Then $smon(B, \mathcal{G}p(A)) = \mathcal{G}p(\Lambda)$ if and only if B is CM-free.

Via filtration categories

$$\mathcal{G}p(A) \otimes \mathcal{G}p(B) := \{X \otimes_k Y \in A \otimes_k B - \text{mod} \mid X \in \mathcal{G}p(A), Y \in \mathcal{G}p(B)\}$$

$$\widetilde{\text{filt}}(\mathcal{G}p(A) \otimes \mathcal{G}p(B)) \subset \mathcal{G}p(A \otimes_k B)$$

Via filtration categories

$$\mathcal{G}p(A) \otimes \mathcal{G}p(B) := \{X \otimes_k Y \in A \otimes_k B - \text{mod} \mid X \in \mathcal{G}p(A), Y \in \mathcal{G}p(B)\}$$

$$\widetilde{\text{filt}}(\mathcal{G}p(A) \otimes \mathcal{G}p(B)) \subset \mathcal{G}p(A \otimes_k B)$$

Quenstion: Does $\mathcal{G}p(A \otimes_k B)$ coincide with $\widetilde{\text{filt}}(\mathcal{G}p(A) \otimes \mathcal{G}p(B))$?

Via filtration categories

$$\mathcal{G}p(A) \otimes \mathcal{G}p(B) := \{X \otimes_k Y \in A \otimes_k B - \text{mod} \mid X \in \mathcal{G}p(A), Y \in \mathcal{G}p(B)\}$$

$$\widetilde{\text{filt}}(\mathcal{G}p(A) \otimes \mathcal{G}p(B)) \subset \mathcal{G}p(A \otimes_k B)$$

Quenstion: Does $\mathcal{G}p(A \otimes_k B)$ coincide with $\widetilde{\text{filt}}(\mathcal{G}p(A) \otimes \mathcal{G}p(B))$?

Theorem 2.7 (joint with W. Hu, B. Xiong and G. Zhou 2018)

- Let A and B be Gorenstein algebras. Assume that k is a splitting field for A or B . Then $\mathcal{G}p(A \otimes_k B) = \widetilde{\text{filt}}(\mathcal{G}p(A) \otimes \mathcal{G}p(B))$.

Via filtration categories

$$\mathcal{G}p(A) \otimes \mathcal{G}p(B) := \{X \otimes_k Y \in A \otimes_k B - \text{mod} \mid X \in \mathcal{G}p(A), Y \in \mathcal{G}p(B)\}$$

$$\widetilde{\text{filt}}(\mathcal{G}p(A) \otimes \mathcal{G}p(B)) \subset \mathcal{G}p(A \otimes_k B)$$

Question: Does $\mathcal{G}p(A \otimes_k B)$ coincide with $\widetilde{\text{filt}}(\mathcal{G}p(A) \otimes \mathcal{G}p(B))$?

Theorem 2.7 (joint with W. Hu, B. Xiong and G. Zhou 2018)

- Let A and B be Gorenstein algebras. Assume that k is a splitting field for A or B . Then $\mathcal{G}p(A \otimes_k B) = \widetilde{\text{filt}}(\mathcal{G}p(A) \otimes \mathcal{G}p(B))$.
- Let A be an algebra, and let B be an upper triangular algebra such that k is a splitting field for B . Then $\mathcal{G}p(A \otimes_k B) = \widetilde{\text{filt}}(\mathcal{G}p(A) \otimes \mathcal{G}p(B))$.

References

-  M. Auslander, M. Bridger, Stable module theory, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 94., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1969.
-  L. L. Avramov, A. Martsinkovsky, Absolute, relative, and Tate cohomology of modules of finite Gorenstein dimension, Proc. London Math. Soc. 85(3)(2002), 393-440.
-  M. Auslander, I. Reiten, Applications of contravariantly finite subcategories, Adv. Math. 86(1991), 111-152.
-  A. Beligiannis, Cohen-Macaulay modules, (co)torsion pairs and virtually Gorenstein algebras, J. Algebra 288(1)(2005), 137-211.
-  R.-O. Buchweitz, Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules and Tate cohomology over Gorenstein rings, Unpublished manuscript, Hamburg (1987), 155pp.
-  E. E. Enochs, O. M. G. Jenda, Gorenstein injective and projective modules, Math. Z. 220(4)(1995), 611-633.

References

-  E. E. Enochs, O. M. G. Jenda, Relative homological algebra, De Gruyter Exp. Math. 30. Walter De Gruyter Co., 2000.
-  D. Happel, On Gorenstein algebras, in: Representation theory of finite groups and finite-dimensional algebras, Prog. Math. 95, 389-404, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1991.
-  H. Holm, Gorenstein homological dimensions, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 189(1-3) (2004), 167-193.
-  W. Hu, X. Luo, B. Xiong, G. Zhou, Gorenstein projective bimodules via monomorphism categories and filtration categories, to appear in J. Pure Appl. Algebra.
-  D. Kussin, H. Lenzing, H. Meltzer, Nilpotent operators and weighted projective lines, J. Reine Angew. Math. 685(2013), 33-71.
-  Z. Li, P. Zhang, A construction of Gorenstein-projective modules, J. Algebra 323 (2010), 1802-1812.

References

-  C. M. Ringel, M. Schmidmeier, Submodules categories of wild representation type, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 205(2)(2006), 412-422.
-  C. M. Ringel, M. Schmidmeier, Invariant subspaces of nilpotent operators I, *J. rein angew. Math.* 614 (2008), 1-52.
-  C. M. Ringel, P. Zhang, Representations of quivers over the algebras of dual numbers, *J. Algebra* 475 (2017), 327-360.
-  D. Simson, Representation types of the category of subprojective representations of a finite poset over $K[t]/(t^m)$ and a solution of a Birkhoff type problem, *J. Algebra* 311(2007), 1-30.
-  B. Xiong, P. Zhang, Gorenstein-projective modules over triangular matrix Artin algebras, *Algebra Colloquium* 23(2016), 97-104.
-  P. Zhang, Gorenstein-projective modules and symmetric recollement, *J. Algebra* 388(2013), 65-80.

Thank You!