

Convex Algebras

Edward L. Green

Department of Mathematics
Virginia Tech

Auslander Distinguished Lectures
and International Conference
April/May 2016

General question

Given a rings surjection $\varphi: B \rightarrow A$ under what conditions is there a relationship between the homological properties of A and the homological properties of B .

By homological properties I mean projective resolutions, global dimension, and finitistic dimension. In general these properties do not behave well.

For example, let $A = KQ/I$ for some admissible ideal I and assume that Q has at least one oriented cycle. Let J be the ideal generated by the arrows of Q .

General question con't

Then, for some N , we have a surjection $\varphi: KQ/J^N \rightarrow KQ/I$.

Then finitistic dimension of KQ/J^n is finite but unknown, in general, for A .

The global dimension of KQ/J^N is infinite but the global dimension of A , in general, can be any finite number or infinite.

Projective resolutions of simple KQ/J^N -modules are reasonably well behaved but not much is known about projective resolutions of simple modules over an arbitrary ring A .

Convex subquivers

Joint work with Eduardo N. Marcos

\mathcal{Q} is an arbitrary quiver.

\mathcal{L} is a full subquiver of \mathcal{Q} . (All subquivers are assumed to be full).

For a while, we work only with quivers and the results will be independent of any relations.

We say a full subquiver \mathcal{L} of \mathcal{Q} is **convex** if every path from a vertex in \mathcal{L} to a vertex in \mathcal{L} lies in \mathcal{L} .

That is, if $p = v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_n$ with $v_1, v_n \in \mathcal{L}_0$, then $v_i \in \mathcal{L}_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

The Convex Hull

Note that \mathcal{Q} and the empty quiver are convex subquivers of \mathcal{Q}

The full subquiver with vertex set consisting of one vertex v is convex if and only if the only cycles through v are loops. The arrow set in this case is the set of loops at v .

If $\{\mathcal{L}_i\}$ is a collection of convex subquivers of \mathcal{Q} then

$$\bigcap_i \mathcal{L}_i \text{ is a convex subquiver}$$

Thus, every subquiver of \mathcal{Q} is contained in a unique smallest convex subquiver called the **convex hull** of \mathcal{L} .

The convex hull of a vertex $v \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ is the full subquiver of \mathcal{Q} with vertex set consisting of the vertices that lie on an oriented cycle having v as one of its vertices.

Some subquiver constructions

Given a subquiver \mathcal{L} of \mathcal{Q} , there are 3 important subquivers associated to it.

$$\mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{L}^-, \mathcal{L}^o$$

The vertex set of \mathcal{L}^+ is the set of vertices v such that v is not in \mathcal{L} and there is a path (in \mathcal{Q}) from a vertex in \mathcal{L} to v .

The vertex set of \mathcal{L}^- is the set of vertices v such that v is not in \mathcal{L} and there is a path from v to a vertex in \mathcal{L} .

The vertex set of \mathcal{L}^o is the set of vertices v such that v is not in \mathcal{L} and there are no paths from or to v to or from a vertex in \mathcal{L} .

Properties

Some basic properties:

1. $Q = \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{L}^+ \cup \mathcal{L}^- \cup \mathcal{L}^o$
2. If \mathcal{L} is convex, then \mathcal{L}^+ , \mathcal{L}^- , and \mathcal{L}^o are convex.
3. $\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{L}^+$ and $\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{L}^-$ are convex.
4. \mathcal{L} is convex if and only if $\mathcal{L}^+ \cap \mathcal{L}^-$ is empty.

Given a quiver Q and a vertex v in Q , the **path connected component of v** is the full subquiver whose vertex set consisting of the vertices w such that both v and w lie on cycle. A path connected component is convex.

Note that the path component of a vertex v is the convex hull of the vertex v .

More properties

If either \mathcal{L}^+ or \mathcal{L}^- is empty, then \mathcal{L} is convex.

Proof If \mathcal{L}^+ is empty, then $\mathcal{L}^+ \cap \mathcal{L}^-$ is empty. Hence \mathcal{L} is convex.

$(\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{L}^+)^+$ and $(\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{L}^-)^-$ are empty and hence $(\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{L}^+)$ and $(\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{L}^-)$ are convex.

Path connected components

We assume that the trivial path of length 0 consisting of a vertex v is considered to be a cyclic (the trivial cycle).

It is easy to see that if \sim is the relation on the vertices of \mathcal{Q} given by $v \sim w$ if v and w are vertices on some oriented cycle in \mathcal{Q} , then \sim is an equivalence relation.

The equivalence classes of \sim are the path connected components.

The **trivial subquiver of \mathcal{Q} at vertex v** consists of one vertex, v and no arrows. The trivial subquiver at v is a path connected component if and only if v does not lie on an oriented cycle (of length ≥ 1).

Homological description of convexity

Proposition

Let \mathcal{L} be a full subquiver of \mathcal{Q} and $\Lambda = K\mathcal{Q}/J^2$, where J is the ideal in $K\mathcal{Q}$ generated by the arrows of \mathcal{Q} . The following statements are equivalent.

1. \mathcal{L} is not convex
2. There exist positive integers a and b and vertices u, v, w with $u, v \in \mathcal{L}_0$ and $w \notin \mathcal{L}_0$ such that both $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^a(S_u, S_w)$ and $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^b(S_w, S_v)$ are nonzero.

Uses that since $\Lambda = K\mathcal{Q}/J^2$ is a Koszul algebra $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(S_u, S_v)$ corresponds to a path of length n in \mathcal{Q} from u to v .

We give another algebraic description of convexity later.

Algebras

Let $\Lambda = KQ/I$ be a K -algebra.

K is an arbitrary field and I is an ideal contained in ideal generated by paths of length 2 in KQ .

Let \mathcal{L} be a full subquiver of Q .

Let e be idempotent in KQ or Λ corresponding to the sum of the vertices in \mathcal{L} .

Let e' be idempotent in KQ or Λ corresponding to the sum of the vertices not in \mathcal{L} .

The algebra associated to \mathcal{L} and Λ is $\Gamma = \Lambda/(\Lambda e' \Lambda)$.

The algebra assoc to \mathcal{L} and Λ

An equally fine choice could have been $e\Lambda e$.

We have surjections:

$$\Lambda \rightarrow e\Lambda e \text{ given by } \lambda \rightarrow e\lambda e$$

and

$$\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda/(\Lambda e' \Lambda), \text{ the canonical surjection.}$$

The first map is not a ring homomorphism in general.

$\Gamma = \Lambda/(\Lambda e' \Lambda)$ and $e\Lambda e$ are, in general not isomorphic as algebras.

Example

Convexity

Lemma

Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a convex subquiver of \mathcal{Q} . Then if $\lambda, \gamma \in \Lambda$, $e\lambda e\gamma e = e\lambda\gamma e$. In particular, $e\lambda e'\gamma e = 0$.

Note that if \mathcal{L} is convex, then the map $\Lambda \rightarrow e\Lambda e$, given by λ is sent to $e\lambda e$, is a ring homomorphism.

There is a splitting of this homomorphism, namely the inclusion $e\Lambda e \rightarrow \Lambda$. This is a splitting as rings without identity .

Proposition

If \mathcal{L} is convex then $\Gamma = \Lambda/(\Lambda e'\Lambda)$ is isomorphic to $e\Lambda e$, sending $\bar{\lambda}$ to $e\lambda e$, where $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ denotes the image of λ in $\Lambda/(\Lambda e'\Lambda)$.

A few references

Auslander, Platzeck, Todorov Idempotent ideals TAMS 1992

G, Madsen, Marcos: Comparison theorem ...e \wedge e

G and Psaroudakis, Chrysostomos: Morita contexts JPAA 2015

Psaroudakis, Chrysostomos; Skartsaeterhagen, Oeystein Ingmar;
Solberg, Oeyvind. Gorenstein categories, singular equivalences and
finite generation of cohomology rings in recollements, TAMS

Diracca, Luca; Koenig, Steffen. Cohomological reduction by split
pairs. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 212 (2008), no. 3, 471-485.

Another description of convexity

Let $I = (0)$. Thus $\Lambda = KQ$. Now suppose that \mathcal{L} is a subquiver of Q and let Γ be the algebra associated to \mathcal{L} and Λ . Then the following statements are equivalent.

1. \mathcal{L} is a convex
2. $e\Lambda e$ is isomorphic to Γ .

Case of \mathcal{L}^+ empty

For the remainder of this talk $\Lambda = KQ/I$, \mathcal{L} is a full subquiver of Q , and $\Gamma = \Lambda/(\Lambda e' \Lambda)$ is the algebra associated to \mathcal{L} and Λ .

Proposition

Suppose that \mathcal{L}^+ is empty. If P is a projective Γ -module, then P is a projective Λ -module. Furthermore, if $M, N \in \mathbf{Mod}(\Gamma)$, then $\text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(M, N) = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M, N)$

Relation to idempotent ideals -APT

Assuming \mathcal{L}^+ is empty, one can show that $\Lambda e' \Lambda = e' \Lambda$ and hence $\Lambda e' \Lambda$ is a strong idempotent ideal. Parts (2) and (3) below have been observed by Auslander, Platzeck, and Todorov (under the assumption that Λ is an artin algebra).

In APT, the duality between left and right modules is used. In the previous result and the following result, we do not assume that Λ is finite dimensional.

\mathcal{L}^+ empty con't

Theorem

Suppose that \mathcal{L}^+ is empty. The following statements hold.

1. If $(*) : \dots \rightarrow P^2 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is a projective Γ -resolution of the Γ -module M , then applying the forgetful functor $(*)$ is a projective Λ -resolution of M . If $(*)$ is minimal over Γ then $(*)$ is minimal over Λ .
2. If M and N are Γ -modules, then the Ext-algebra $\text{Ext}_\Gamma^*(M, N)$ is graded isomorphic $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^*(M, N)$. That is, $\mathbf{Mod}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(\Lambda)$ is a homological embedding.
3. $gl.dim(\Lambda) \geq gl.dim(\Gamma)$.
4. If Λ satisfies the finitistic dimension conjecture, so does Γ .

There are similar results if \mathcal{L}^- is empty.

Convexity Result

Theorem

Let K be a field, \mathcal{Q} a finite quiver, and $\Lambda = K\mathcal{Q}/I$, where I is an ideal in $K\mathcal{Q}$ contained in ideal generated by paths of length 2 in \mathcal{Q} . Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a convex subquiver of \mathcal{Q} and let Γ be the algebra associated to Λ and \mathcal{L} . Then

1. $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^*(M, N)$ is graded isomorphic to $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma}^*(M, N)$, for all Γ -modules M and N .
2. $\text{gl.dim}(\Lambda) \geq \text{gl.dim}(\Gamma)$.
3. The finitistic dimension of $\Lambda \geq$ the finitistic dimension of Γ .

Hochschild cohomology

$\Lambda = KQ/I$, where I is an admissible ideal in KQ .

It is well-known that $\Lambda^e = \Lambda^{\text{op}} \otimes_K \Lambda = KQ^*/I^*$ where Q^* is the quiver with vertex set $Q^{\text{op}} \times Q$ where $Q^{\text{op}} = \{v^{\text{op}} \mid v \in Q_0\}$ and arrow set

$\{(a^{\text{op}}, v) \mid a \in Q_1, v \in Q_0\} \cup \{(v^{\text{op}}, a) \mid v^{\text{op}} \in Q_0^{\text{op}}, a \in Q_1\}$,
where $a^{\text{op}}: v^{\text{op}} \rightarrow w^{\text{op}}$ if $a: w \rightarrow v$.

The ideal I^* is generated by the elements of the form $r^{\text{op}} \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes r'$, where $r^{\text{op}} \in I^{\text{op}}$ and $r' \in I$ together with commutativity relations coming from the tensor product $\Lambda^{\text{op}} \otimes_K \Lambda$.

Convexity in this setting

Lemma

Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a convex subquiver of \mathcal{Q} . Then $\mathcal{L}^{op} \times \mathcal{L}$ is a convex subquiver of \mathcal{Q}^ .*

Let Γ be the algebra associated to Λ and \mathcal{L} . The algebra associated to Λ^e and $\mathcal{L}^{op} \times \mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to Γ^e .

Theorem

Let K be a field, \mathcal{Q} a finite quiver, and $\Lambda = K\mathcal{Q}/I$, where I is an admissible ideal in $K\mathcal{Q}$. Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a convex subquiver of \mathcal{Q} and let Γ be the algebra associated to Λ and \mathcal{L} . Then $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^e}^(\Gamma, N)$ is graded isomorphic to $HH^*(\Gamma, N)$, for all Γ -bimodules N . In particular, $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^e}^*(\Gamma, \Gamma)$ is graded isomorphic to $HH^*(\Gamma)$.*

The homological heart of an algebra

Let

$$X = \{v \in Q_0 \mid v \text{ is a vertex in a nontrivial cycle in } Q\}$$

and let

$$Y = X \cup \{y \in Q_0 \mid y \text{ is a vertex in a path with origin} \\ \text{and terminus vertices in } X\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H}(Q)$, or simply \mathcal{H} when no confusion could arise, be the subquiver of Q with vertex set Y . We call \mathcal{H} the **homological heart of Q** .

Note that \mathcal{H} depends only on Q .

Basic properties

Proposition

Let \mathcal{H} be the homological heart of \mathcal{Q} . Then the following statements hold.

- 1. The subquiver \mathcal{H} is the empty quiver if and only if \mathcal{Q} contains no nontrivial cycles; that is, \mathcal{Q} is triangular.*
- 2. The subquiver \mathcal{H} is the convex hull of X .*
- 3. The quiver \mathcal{H} is the smallest convex subquiver of \mathcal{Q} that contains all the nontrivial path connected components of \mathcal{Q} .*
- 4. The homological heart of \mathcal{Q} is an invariant of \mathcal{Q} .*
- 5. The subquiver $\mathcal{H}^+ \cup \mathcal{H}^- \cup \mathcal{H}^0$ contains no oriented cycles.*

Useful results

We set t to be the longest path in \mathcal{Q} with support in $\mathcal{H}^- \cup \mathcal{H}^0 \cup \mathcal{H}^+$.

Lemma

Let M be a Λ -module. Then, for $\ell \geq t$

1. the ℓ -th syzygy of a Λ -module has support in $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}^+$ and
2. the ℓ -th cosyzygy of Λ -module has support in $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}^-$.

Let C be a Λ -module. Define C^+ to be the largest submodule of C having support contained in \mathcal{H}^+ and C_- be the smallest submodule of C such that C/C_- has support contained \mathcal{H}^- .

Main result about homological hearts

First a proposition.

Proposition

Let A be a Λ -module whose support is contained in $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}^+$. If $\dots \rightarrow P^2 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ is a minimal projective Λ -resolution of A , then

$$\dots \rightarrow P^2/(P^2)^+ \rightarrow P^1/(P^1)^+ \rightarrow P^0/(P^0)^+ \rightarrow A/A^+ \rightarrow 0$$

is a minimal projective Γ -resolution of A/A^+ .

Theorem

Let K be a field, \mathcal{Q} a finite quiver, and $\Lambda = K\mathcal{Q}/I$, where I is an admissible ideal I in $K\mathcal{Q}$. Let \mathcal{H} be the homological heart of \mathcal{Q} and Γ be the algebra associated to Λ and \mathcal{H} .

Theorem (Con't)

Let t be length of the longest path in \mathcal{Q} having support contained in $\mathcal{H}^+ \cup \mathcal{H}^- \cup \mathcal{H}^0$.

Then

1. $gl.dim(\Lambda)$ is finite if and only if $gl.dim(\Gamma)$ is finite.
2. The finitistic dimension of Λ is finite if and only if the finitistic dimension of Γ is finite.
3. If M and N are Λ -modules and $\ell \geq 2t + 1$, then

$\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{\ell}(M, N)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{\ell-2t}(A_M, B_N)$,

where $A_M = \Omega^t(M)/(\Omega^t(M))^+$ and $B_N = \Omega^{-t}(N)_-$.