Police Encounters Among Needle Exchange Clients in Baltimore: Drug Law Enforcement as a Structural Determinant of Health
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Laws and policing practices are broadly understood to act as structural determinants of health for people who inject drugs (PWID). In many US jurisdictions, laws have historically prevented over-the-counter sale and possession of syringes, reflecting a theory that legal restrictions on access to clean injection equipment can deter drug abuse. Other policies have banned federal, state, and municipal appropriations for needle exchange programs (NEPs), vastly underresourcing these public health initiatives and facilitating infectious disease spread among PWID and across communities. Possession of injecting equipment is generally a crime under state laws prohibiting drug paraphernalia, authorizing syringe confiscation and arrest of PWID by street-level law enforcement officers.

In the past 2 decades, an extensive evidence base demonstrating NEPs to be both efficacious in reducing the transmission of infectious disease (such as HIV and HCV) and cost-effective without increasing drug abuse has helped shift the US policy environment relating to syringe access. To date, 28 states have established regulation of retail sale and possession of syringes; NEPs have received official authorization in at least 18 states, sometimes only in the context of a public health emergency. Elsewhere, NEP clients gained formal or informal exemption from drug paraphernalia laws to encourage program use. These shifts have occurred in the context of other drug policy reforms that have begun the process of aligning the criminal justice regime with public health for PWID proved feasible when integrated into service activities. Improved monitoring is critical to informing interventions to align policing with public health, especially among groups subject to disproportionate levels of drug law enforcement. (Am J Public Health. 2015;105:1872–1879. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2015.302681)

Objectives. We piloted a monitoring mechanism to document police encounters among programs targeting people who inject drugs (PWID), and assessed their demographic predictors at 2 Baltimore, Maryland, needle exchange program (NEP) sites.

Methods. In a brief survey, 308 clients quantified, characterized, and sited recent police encounters. Multivariate linear regression determined encounter predictors, and we used geocoordinate maps to illustrate clusters.

Results. Within the past 6 months, clients reported a median of 3 stops near NEP sites (interquartile range [IQR] = 0–7.5) and a median of 1 arrest in any location (IQR = 0–2). Three respondents reported police referral to the NEP. Being younger (P = .009), being male (P = .033), and making frequent NEP visits (P = .02) were associated with reported police stops. Among clients reporting arrest or citation for syringe possession, Whites were significantly less likely than non-Whites to report being en route to or from an NEP (P = .001). Reported encounters were clustered around NEPs.

Conclusions. Systematic surveillance of structural determinants of health for PWID proved feasible when integrated into service activities. Improved monitoring is critical to informing interventions to align policing with public health, especially among groups subject to disproportionate levels of drug law enforcement.
involving police and program clients or staff. To our knowledge, those programs that do have a system for documenting police encounters have not formally described their methods or analyzed their findings. We piloted and evaluated a surveillance system to document levels of reported police interaction with NEP clients in an urban setting of Baltimore, Maryland. In light of the racially charged nature of drug law enforcement, our hypothesis was that non-White NEP clients would report higher levels of police encounters than White clients.

METHODS

With an estimated population of more than 40,000 PWID, Baltimore has some of the highest rates of heroin use, injection drug use, and injection-attributable HIV and HCV in the United States. During the relevant period, Baltimore City reported approximately 20,000 drug-related arrests per year—what translates to the highest rate in the state. African Americans, who make up an overall majority (64%) of Baltimore’s population, are nonetheless overrepresented among the city’s residents involved in the criminal justice system, as well as those affected by HIV and HCV. Over the years, the state of Maryland has introduced policy interventions designed to curb drug addiction, scale up substance use treatment, and reduce drug-related harms. In the realm of syringe access, Maryland’s legislature created the Baltimore City Needle Exchange Program in 1994, including special immunity from drug paraphernalia laws for NEP clients and employees.

For almost 2 decades, the Baltimore City Health Department has implemented the NEP by operating retrofitted camper vans on a 1-for-1 exchange model. These mobile exchange points make scheduled stops at sites throughout city neighborhoods most affected by the injection drug use and infectious disease syndemic. In 2010, the program serviced 23,466 unique clients through its 17 sites. An interagency agreement with the Baltimore City Health Department requires the Baltimore Police Department to instruct its officers to maintain a discretionary zone or reduced police activity around NEP vans during program hours, and under state law, clients carrying NEP participant identification cards are protected from criminal liability for possession or distribution of paraphernalia when traveling to and from the exchange sites.

Building on research from other jurisdictions demonstrating that police officers may not be fully informed about public health-oriented criminal justice reforms targeting drug users and anecdotal reports of persistent police presence around the Baltimore NEP sites, we piloted a surveillance mechanism to understand the prevalence, location, and characteristics of reported police encounters among Baltimore NEP clients.

We developed an 11-item interviewer-administered client experience survey. The instrument assessed basic respondent characteristics plausibly associated with reported police encounters, including demographics (e.g., age, gender, race), drug use risk behaviors (e.g., age at initiation of injection drug use), and history of NEP use. The instrument also prompted respondents for an estimated number of times they experienced specific police encounters over the previous 6-month period, including number of times stopped in the neighborhood (the 2- to 3-block radius) of the NEP during program hours, number of times arrested or detained by police, number of times cited specifically for possession of injection equipment, and number of times police referred or accompanied them to the NEP. For each category of encounter, clients with nonzero responses were asked to approximate the cross streets of their most recent reported encounter in that category. Survey participation was voluntary and anonymous.

To maximize age and ethnic diversity, we chose 2 NEP sites for this pilot: 1 in Southwest Baltimore, serving a diverse population that includes a large proportion of White users, and 1 in West Baltimore, serving primarily African Americans. The pilot ran between March 2010 and May 2012. All clients who visited either site during the study period were eligible, but participation was limited to 1 set of responses per client. Using a prepared script, study staff and volunteers recruited clients meeting eligibility criteria (no previous participation) and offered them a $10 incentive in the form of a gift card to a local pharmacy and grocery store.

We conducted analyses with Stata version 10 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX) to understand the prevalence and correlates of reported client interactions with police. For the police stop variable, we built a linear regression model to determine predictors of reported police encounters in the vicinity of the NEP. We used the Pearson $\chi^2$ test to compare differences between the responses of White and non-White clients with regard to the demographic characteristics of gender, age, parole or probation status, and NEP visit history. We used a $t$ test to determine whether the mean age at first injection differed by race. By using a backward elimination procedure, we retained covariates that changed the main exposure coefficient by greater than 10% in the final multiple linear regression model.

We used the Pearson’s $\chi^2$ test to compare White and non-White respondent groups with regard to reported police encounters (past 6 months) dichotomized around the median: proportion reported stopped by police 4 or more times near the NEP site, proportion reported arrested or detained 4 or more times regardless of location, proportion reported arrested or cited for possession of syringes going to or from the NEP site, and proportion who reportedly had injection equipment confiscated or destroyed by police.

Finally, we used the geocoordinates gathered for the most recent reported police encounter in each category to create maps of “hotspots,” illustrating the geographical concentration of clients’ reported police encounters around the 2 NEP sites.

RESULTS

Demographics are displayed in Table 1. By design, the sample ($n = 308$) participants were approximately split between the 2 sites (55% at the West Baltimore and 45% at the Southwest Baltimore site) and White and non-White NEP clients (40% and 60%, respectively). The sample was 75% male, with a median age of 35 years. The median age at first injection was 20 years, and 21% reported being on parole or probation. Participants reported visiting the NEP a median of 12 times (interquartile range [IQR] = 3–26) in the preceding 6 months. We found significant differences between the White and non-White sample with regard to both gender and age (Table 1). Table 2 displays the frequency of White and non-White NEP clients’ reported police encounters...
interactions during the preceding 6 months, including frequency of reported police stops near the NEP site, arrests or citations specifically for possession of injecting equipment, police confiscation or destruction of injecting equipment, arrest or detention at any location, and police referrals to the NEP. Within the past 6 months, clients reported a median of 3 stops near the pilot NEP sites during program hours (IQR = 0–7.5) and a median of 1 arrest in any location (IQR = 0–2). Three respondents (< 1%) reported being referred to the NEP by police officers over that time period.

Of the clients (n = 73) who reported having been arrested or cited specifically for possession of injection equipment, 68% reported that the encounters took place while the client was going to or from the exchange site. Non-Whites were more likely than Whites to report being on their way to or from an NEP site at the time they received such an arrest or citation (82% vs 41%, respectively; Table 2).

In a multivariate model, being younger and attending the NEP site more frequently was associated with increased report of police stops in the vicinity of the NEP sites, controlling for NEP site. Male clients reported experiencing almost 5 more stops on average than female clients. (Table 3).

Heat maps representing reported police stops and syringe confiscation (Figure 1) provide visual representations of the high levels of police–NEP client interactions, vividly illustrating the structural environment created by policing practices around NEP operations at our pilot sites. They may also document apparent confusion about the interagency agreements designating areas of NEP activity for reduced engagement (i.e., visibility and enforcement intensity) by Baltimore City Police Department officers. A comparison between the 2 areas reveals reported police activity to be particularly concentrated around the West Baltimore NEP site, although the vicinity of the Southwest Baltimore site saw a slightly higher mean number of reported stops (9.17, compared with 8.48 at West Baltimore).

**DISCUSSION**

This study’s pilot surveillance system revealed that NEP clients in Baltimore continue to experience adverse police encounters related to use of NEP services and possession of clean injecting equipment. Clients in the sample reported a median of 3 police stops in and around 2 NEP sites, and non-White clients were more likely to report arrest or confiscation of injecting equipment while traveling to or from the NEP site. Clients who were younger, male, and more frequent users of the NEP were more likely to report police encounters in the programs’ vicinity.

By quantifying the prevalence and nature of NEP clients’ interactions with Baltimore police, the results refine our understanding of who, among the city’s NEP client population, is more likely to experience stops, arrest, detention, and confiscation of injection equipment by police. We also identified geographic “hotspots,” indicating where adverse PWID interactions with police are occurring. Collection of this information will help to develop targeted strategies to ensure full implementation and access to NEP services, and will inform collaborative programs with law enforcement to reduce policing barriers to syringe access.

Our data suggest that active clients are also more likely to report experiencing adverse police interactions than those who visit the NEP less regularly. To the extent that this may reflect law enforcement practices that target NEP clients, geospatial surveillance is an important additional tool to help identify and address those police practices through better management, training, and service coordination. Eliminating policing as a barrier...
to accessing critical public health services facing the neediest segment of the PWID population is critical to the full uptake and impact of NEPs. Nationally, individuals aged 25 to 34 years account for the largest proportion of new HIV infections, with African Americans being disproportionately affected. There have also been recent reports of quickly rising incidence of heroin injection and HCV infection among young people transitioning from prescription drug abuse. Nonetheless, PWID in this age category are underrepresented in both our sample and the population served by Baltimore City Health Department’s risk reduction services.

This context makes our finding that younger male NEP clients are more likely to report being stopped by police that much more salient. It is perhaps unsurprising, given that youth is generally associated with higher rates of criminal justice involvement and has been theorized to be subject to increased police surveillance. This level of reported interaction with police may also reflect the fact that a substantial proportion of our sample was under parole or probation supervision. If police encounters are understood to be collateral to NEP access, young male injection drug users may instead choose to visit the NEP less frequently, or not at all, increasing their risk of unsafe injection. Strategic collaboration with law enforcement offers the chance to enhance access to NEP services among young male PWID at high risk for infectious disease transmission.

To ensure that syringe access laws are properly implemented, better and more systematic surveillance of police interactions with PWID is needed. Drug users in Baltimore City and around the world report that their experiences of and perceptions regarding police activity have a direct impact on decisions

### TABLE 2—Needle Exchange Program Clients’ Encounters With Police During the Past 6 Months by Race: Baltimore, MD, 2010–2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>White, No. (%)</th>
<th>Non-White, No. (%)</th>
<th>Total No. (%)</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Times stopped by police near this NEP site during operating hours</td>
<td>123 (39.94)</td>
<td>185 (60.06)</td>
<td>308 (100)</td>
<td>.793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>30 (24.39)</td>
<td>48 (25.95)</td>
<td>78 (25.32)</td>
<td>\</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>39 (31.71)</td>
<td>52 (28.11)</td>
<td>91 (29.55)</td>
<td>\</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 4 )</td>
<td>54 (43.90)</td>
<td>85 (45.95)</td>
<td>139 (45.13)</td>
<td>\</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Times arrested or detained (any charge or location)</td>
<td>60 (48.78)</td>
<td>84 (44.32)</td>
<td>144 (46.10)</td>
<td>\</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>53 (43.09)</td>
<td>92 (44.73)</td>
<td>145 (47.08)</td>
<td>\</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 4 )</td>
<td>10 (8.13)</td>
<td>11 (5.95)</td>
<td>21 (6.82)</td>
<td>\</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If ever arrested or cited, times specifically for injection equipment while going to or from NEP site</td>
<td>17 (58.62)</td>
<td>18 (18.18)</td>
<td>25 (34.25)</td>
<td>\</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9 (31.03)</td>
<td>35 (79.55)</td>
<td>44 (60.27)</td>
<td>\</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 4 )</td>
<td>3 (10.34)</td>
<td>1 (2.27)</td>
<td>4 (5.48)</td>
<td>\</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Times police took, confiscated, or destroyed injection equipment without arrest or citation</td>
<td>67 (54.47)</td>
<td>93 (50.27)</td>
<td>160 (51.94)</td>
<td>\</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>37 (30.08)</td>
<td>60 (32.61)</td>
<td>97 (31.60)</td>
<td>\</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 4 )</td>
<td>19 (15.45)</td>
<td>31 (16.85)</td>
<td>50 (16.29)</td>
<td>\</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Times referred to NEP by police</td>
<td>122 (99.19)</td>
<td>182 (98.91)</td>
<td>304 (98.70)</td>
<td>\</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.81)</td>
<td>2 (1.09)</td>
<td>3 (0.97)</td>
<td>\</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. NEP = needle exchange program. The sample size was \( n = 308 \) clients. \( \chi^2 \) values between White and non-White participants were determined by Pearson \( \chi^2 \) test.

### TABLE 3—Summary of Linear Regression Predicting Number of Police Stops Near Needle Exchange Program Site: Baltimore, MD, 2010–2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Model 1 (n = 303)</th>
<th>Model 2 (n = 303)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B (95% CI)</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.238 (-0.418, 0.059)</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender: male</td>
<td>5.020 (0.397, 9.642)</td>
<td>2.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP visits</td>
<td>0.098 (0.0158, 0.180)</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP site</td>
<td>-0.902 (-5.464, 3.660)</td>
<td>2.318</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. CI = confidence interval; NEP = needle exchange program. \( R^2 \) = 0.0400; \( \text{adjusted } R^2 = 0.0304; F = 4.15 \).

\( R^2 \) = 0.0405; \( \text{adjusted } R^2 = 0.0276; F = 3.14 \).
related to the manner, location, and timing of drug consumption, which in turn determine the relative risk or safety of drug use.\textsuperscript{16,31,37,39,64–66} Existing data make clear that without ongoing efforts to ensure that policing practices align with legal reforms permitting syringe possession, PWID may continue to experience extrajudicial syringe confiscation, detention, and other adverse encounters.\textsuperscript{8,64} Our findings add important new evidence to a body of research on this critical and underexamined element of the PWID risk environment, highlighting the racial gradients in such encounters.

Documentation of adverse police interaction is required for NEPs to continue offering effective syringe access and prevention services. Our findings expose a misalignment between formal law and stated police policy on the one hand and practice on the other. This is consistent with previous studies identifying
gaps in the implementation of syringe access laws and policies.\(^9\) In view of experience from other jurisdictions, these findings illustrate the need for more robust policing policies\(^7\); improved education, management, and incentive structures for front-line officers\(^67\), improved communication between police and public health professionals working with vulnerable groups\(^63-66\); and better client knowledge of legal rights to access risk reduction services.\(^68\)

Surveillance data of the kind collected in this study is vital for informing all of these programmatic elements, while also echoing broader calls for increased transparency, accountability, and bias-free policing. Such calls have gained additional urgency in the context of the recent unrest linked to fraught community–police relations in Baltimore and elsewhere.

Limitations

Our findings are limited in that they document correlations and cannot prove causation. The respondent client population is a convenience sample and may not be representative of the overall NEP clientele or the overall PWID population in Baltimore. In some cases, respondents reported numbers of encounters beyond possible figures, resulting in this variable’s value being capped at the maximum number of days the NEP site was in operation during a 6-month period. All data, including police encounter data, were based on self-report, and could not be externally validated.

Interruptions in data collection because of staffing and funding shortages extended the study period over 20 months and challenged a “snapshot” cross-sectional design. Although a number of additional domains of inquiry (e.g., drug use dynamics) could ideally also inform our understanding of factors associated with adverse police encounters, the limited scope of the project required us to make tough choices to accommodate the time, space, and resource constraints. Despite these limitations, our findings reveal significant and sustained levels of reported police activity in the vicinity of the Baltimore NEPs, with disproportionate impact on non-White and younger injectors.

Conclusions

This pilot surveillance effort yielded important programmatic lessons. Both domestically and internationally, staff at agencies serving drug users and other criminalized groups should anticipate a “learning curve” for local police after a change in law takes effect.\(^64\) Training should be used to inform new recruits and veteran officers to changes in the law and standard operating procedures, along with broader information about the function, rationale, and occupational and public health benefits of public health services targeting people with addiction problems. Analogous police trainings have been used elsewhere, with positive results.\(^63,638-71\)

Protocols to assist clients after they report an adverse interaction should be put in place.

Used periodically to assess the frequency and character of adverse police interaction among NEP clients, some version of the instrument used in this project can serve as a tool for improved coordination and communication with law enforcement. Incorporating this tool into an NEP program can equip program administrators with real-time data on the extent of adverse—or positive—police interactions among their client base. These data can also help assess efforts to improve operational coordination between police social service, drug treatment, and other agencies working with PWID, including service referrals.\(^63\)

Geospatial surveillance can help bridge public health efforts to police management tools such as Compstat, which uses crime data to inform deployment decisions. These data can be used to inform policy, training, and other interventions to better align police practices with public health goals.\(^6,62,63\)
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